OPERABLE UNIT 10-04 PROPOSED PLAN PUBLIC MEETING

FEBRUARY 12, 2002 IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO

ORIGINAL

Nancy Schwartz Reporting 2421 Anderson Street Boise, Idaho 83702 (208)345-2773

1	INDEX		
2	P	AGE	
3	Introduction - Erik Simpson	3	
4	Agency Presentation		
5	Background/Overview - Glenn Nelson, DOE-ID	7	
6	Risk Assessment - Rick Poeton, EPA	16	
7	Remedial Alternatives/Summary - Gerry Winter	24	
8			
9	QUESTION AND ANSWERS -	29	
10			
11	OFFICIAL RECORDING OF STATEMENTS -	35	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
2 4			
25			

Operable Unit 10-04 Public Meeting	CondenseIt!	February 12, 2002, Idaho Falls, Idaho
		Page 3
OPERABLE UNIT 10-04 PROPOSED PLAN	1	
	2	
PUBLIC MEETING	3	
	4	
FEBRUARY 12, 2002	5	
	6 -	
IDARO FALLS, IDAHO	7	
	8	
Nancy Schwartz Reporting	9	
2421 Anderson Street	11	
Boise, Idaho 83702 (208)345-2773	12	
(200)343-2773	13	
	14	
	15	•
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	Page 2	Page 4
1 INDEX	1	IDAHO FALLS, THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2001
2 PAGE	2	
3 Introduction - Erik Simpson 3	3	MR. SIMPSON: I think that we're ready to
4 Agency Presentation	_	tarted. Welcome, my name is Erik Simpson. I'm
5 Background/Overview - Glenn Nelson, DOE-ID 7		L community relations plan coordinator. I will
6 Risk Assessment - Rick Poeton, EPA 16		e facilitator for tonight's meeting.
7 Remedial Alternatives/Summary - Gerry Winter 24	7	Tonight we're here to solicit public
8		t on a proposed cleanup plan that deals with
9 QUESTION AND ANSWERS - 29		facets. One would be the remediation of ploded ordnance, bullet fragments, TNT- and
10		contaminated soil, and also to discuss the
11 OFFICIAL RECORDING OF STATEMENTS - 35	I	ts of the INEEL-wide Ecological Risk
12	1	ssment. And the Environmental Restoration
14	í	ram designations for this project is Operable
15	15 Unit	
16	16	The last time that we held public cleanup
17	1	ings on a proposed plan such as this one was in
18		ember of 2000 when the U.S. Environmental
19		ection Agency, U.S. Department of Energy, and
20	20 the I	daho Department of Environmental Quality were
21		iting public input on a proposed plan that
22	22 dealt	with groundwater remediation at the Test Area
23		h. The action signed a Record of Decision for
24	F	project in the fall of last year.
25	25	At this time, I would like to go over the

1 agenda. I don't know if many folks grabbed one as

2 they were coming in. There are some on the back

3 table and also at the sign-up table. First, we will

4 hear a presentation, then we will have a

5 question-and-answer session. Really, what we have

6 done in the past -- and I think is has worked fairly

7 well -- we tried to keep this fairly informal, so

8 if you have questions during the presentation,

9 feel free to raise your hand and stop the

10 presenter and ask your question and then following

11 the presentation, we will have another

12 question-and-answer session.

Then, we will have a short break if we run 14 a little long. And we'll have a formal public 15 comment period or a formal comment session. We have

16 a court reporter here tonight who is recording all

17 portions of this meeting. You can also submit any

18 comments that you may have in writing. And there is

19 a comment form on the back of the proposed cleanup

20 plan. I've got copies of that also at the back

21 table. You can make oral comments here tonight or

22 you can submit comments electronically via the

23 Internet, via the Worldwide Web.

I should also mention, on the back of this agenda there is a brief survey. Please let us know Page 7

1 see you folks. We had a public meeting in Boise

2 last week. I had no idea how many people would show

3 up. In final analysis, we could probably have held

4 it in a phone booth. So, it's good to see more than

5 a handful of folks to show up.

6 It's also interesting or good, or maybe

7 that is the same word, to see some of you back for a

8 second vaccination on this same topic because this

9 is the fourth meeting similar to this that we've

10 had. So, some of you have come back and that, at

least, proves that listening to this briefing isn't

12 generally lethal.

About ten years ago, the Department of Energy, the Navy, the Environmental Protection,

15 Agency, and the state of Idaho signed this document

16 called the Federal Facility Agreement and Consent

17 Order. This particular document acknowledges that

18 some remedial activities, environmental cleanup

19 activities need to take place at the INEEL. And it

20 divides those areas of activity into ten groups,

21 which are called Waste Area Groups.

22 Eight of the Waste Area Groups were

23 facilities that were operating at that time. WAG 10

24 is concerned with all of the INEEL that is not

25 encompassed by any of the nine smaller Waste Area

Page 6

1 your impressions of this meeting. We use the input

2 that we get from these public meetings to shape

3 future meetings. So, if something worked

4 particularly well or if didn't work particularly

5 well, we want to know about it. And you can submit

6 those at the back table at the end of the evening.

7 Also, we have several documents at the back

8 table. We've got the Remedial Investigation

9 Feasibility Study, which is a huge document on this

10 project. We've also got past facility Records of

11 Decision. We have a Federal Facility and Consent

12 Order. We have fact sheets, basically, literature

13 for all reasons.

14

At this time, I would like to introduce the

15 presenters tonight. Glenn Nelson, with the

16 Department of Energy, will discuss the project

17 background and the overview. Rick Poeton of the

18 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 in

19 Seattle, will explain the risk-assessment process.

20 And Gerry Winter is with the state of Idaho,

21 Department of Environmental Quality, and he will

22 discuss the remedial alternatives and summary.

23 With that, I will turn this lapel mike over

24 to Glenn.

25 MR. NELSON: First Of all, it's great to

Page 8

1 Group. Waste Area Group 10 has two parts. The

2 first part that we are talking about tonight is

3 called Operable Unit 10-04, and it deals with

4 contaminants on the surface. About a year, year and

5 a half from now we will be at the same point as we

6 are tonight with 10-04, only we will be talking

7 about the second part of WAG 10, which will be

8 Operable Unit 10-08, which will be concerning

9 groundwater that underlies the INEEL.

One other thing, this document sets forth

milestones, sets forth a list of documents that have to be provided and approved by the parties, to the

13 signing parties to this document. It contains rules

14 for how we fuss with each other and how we do it

15 politely most of the time and things like that. So,

16 it's kind of a working agreement between the EPA,

17 the state of Idaho, and the Department of Energy.

18 In the CERCIA process right now -- well, if

In the CERCLA process right now -- well, if you haven't noticed it, on the table back here, are

20 two fairly thick documents, Volume 1, Volume 2

21 called a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility

22 Study. I don't know how many pages are involved,

23 but I will guess about 1,000 to 1,500 pages.

24 This document called a Proposed Plan is a

25 38-page summary of what we think are the most

1 important parts of the much larger document back2 there, which is quite a reading chore.

We are offering -- this document was mailed
to ut to everybody that was on the official mailing
list. If you haven't received a copy yet, you are
certainly welcome to take a copy this evening. We
solicit your comments, your input on this document,
and on the direction that we are currently heading.

9 So, where we are tonight is seeking your 10 input. Now, there is a review period on this.

11 Normally, it's 30 calendar days, but the Citizens' 12 Advisory Board requested a 30-day extension to that

13 30-day period and that was granted. So, the end of

14 the review period on this particular document is

15 March 29th. So, we ask that any comments that you 16 have be postmarked by that date or whatever -- I

17 don't know how we enforce that rule, but that is the

18 end of the official public-review period.

Next slide, please. I failed to mention that the FFA/CO almost acted like a marriage certificate in that it condoned a prearranged

22 marriage between Waste Area Group 6 and Waste Area

23 Group 10. So, almost from the outset, almost all of

24 the last ten years WAG 6 and WAG 10 have been

25 together in all the documentation that has been

1 prepared. WAG 6 contained in it two reactor

Page 10

Page 12

Page 11

2 facilities, one known as BORAX an one as EBR-1 that
3 both were inactive at the time the FFA/CO was
4 signed. So, they were merged with WAG 10 since
5 WAG 10 is the last WAG and picks up all the loose
6 ends that might be left by other WAGs that preceded

One thing that is added or contained in
Operable Unit 10-04 is a complete analysis of risks
to ecological receptors across the INEEL for the

10 to ecological receptors across the INEEL for the
11 entire site.
12 Next slide, please. All told, 50 sites
13 years identified during the PUES process. In other

were identified during the RI/FS process. In other words, as a result of the two large documents that are back there. Nine were identified as needing

16 remediation because of the risks that they posed.

17 The three basic groups -- I will use this visual aid

18 that I brought with me. The three basic groups of

19 risks are unexploded ordnance, things that should

20 have gone bang in the night but did not,

21 components -- maybe I should choose three different

22 fingers. Components that are normally found in

23 things that are supposed to explode like TNT or RDX

24 but for some reason they did not detonate, then the

25 third major category is lead.

7 it.

About 15 years ago, the INEEL had, in

2 essence, its own army with about 500 or 600 members

3 that were prepared to repel all kinds of terrorist

4 attacks and folks had to train very vigorously to be

5 a member of that team. Maybe people would shoot

6 70-, 80,000, 90,000 per year. So, as a consequence, 7 in the area where they trained, there are somewhere

8 between 60 and 70 tons of lead from the many

9 hundreds of thousands of rounds that they fired.

The RI/FS was finalized based on input from the state of Idaho, the EPA, and the

12 Shoshone-Bannock Tribes. The input from the tribes

13 was cheerfully accepted and is fully incorporated as

14 Appendix A in the Remedial Investigation and

15 Feasibility Study.

Next slide, please. The reason we have all of these artillery shells and other unexploded items

18 is that during World War II, and then to a lesser

19 degree during the Vietnam War, large portions of the 20 INEEL were used for calibrating recently relined

21 artillery barrels that would eventually be put back

22 into service on Naval ships. All kinds of rounds

23 were fired. Some were supposed to make little

24 bangs. Some were supposed to release die markers.

25 Some were supposed to explode with the full force of

1 ammunition. Some rounds behaved properly and some 2 did not.

Next slide, please. This is an aerial

4 photo of the BORAX reactor area. BORAX I would --

5 regrettably is not in this photo, but is a few

6 hundred yards off to the left of this particular 7 area.

The BORAX-I area has been capped. That

9 will be mentioned on our forthcoming slide. Capped 10 means the layers of soil and gravel and clay. And,

11 then, finally, extremely large boulders have been

12 placed over the area to prevent or retard any

13 intrusion by rainwater or little animals that live

14 in the ground and like to dig holes and things like 15 that.

Next slide, please. This is a portion of a

17 bomb or an artillery shell partially embedded in the

18 soil, bottom end broken off, explosive

19 constituents -- what should have been explosive 20 constituents having been spread out and producing

21 this dark staining of the ground nearby.

Next slide. These are closer photos of explosive compounds, either TNT or RDX, which was --

24 at least used to be a primary military explosive. I

25 think it has been replaced with a later one called

1 HMX, which we do not have. This is a genuine depth 2 charge that is out of its depth, but these are in

3 as-found condition at the INEEL.

Next slide, please. These are the three 5 components, as I have been told of a anti-tank mine.

6 These are pressure plates. This little device is

7 defused, and this is the mine. A knowledgeable

8 member of our audience in Boise told me that this

9 particular device was designed to detonate with

10 28 pounds of energy placed on it.

Next slide, please. These are not practice 11 12 areas for alien crop circles. These are, in fact, 13 an area at the site known as the mass-detonation 14 area. For reasons unknown to me, but I'm sure they 15 made lots of sense at the time when Naval personnel 16 were not shooting things out of big gun barrels, 17 they would occasionally go out into large flat areas 18 and create buildings to be demolished or just, in 19 general, experiment with explosive affects. So, 20 these craters are a result of their experimentation

21 that was done. 22 Next slide. There have been a few ordnance 23 cleanup efforts as part of WAG-10 that have taken 24 place over the last few years. The sites that were 25 judged to be a more immediate threat than the sites

1 and berms up around it. But from the photographs,

2 it didn't look like it was any greater than 10 feet above grade level.

These two statements mean almost the same

5 thing. And, really, the essence is that areas of

the site that pose the highest risks have already

7 been cleaned up. Small areas compared to what is

8 left because we still have a considerable number of

9 acres, a couple hundred thousand acres, anyway, that

10 need to be cleaned up, but the density of items

11 varies greatly. By that, I mean just because you

12 find an item here that needs to be picked up that

13 doesn't mean that you will find another one two feet

away. It might be some distance.

Okay. That concludes my portion of this 16 presentation. I would like to recognize or reintroduce Mr. Rick Poeton from EPA and let him

talk about risks and risk assessment.

19 MR. POETON: Thank you, Glenn.

20 Glenn described just in that last slide 21 some of the removal actions that were taken for some

22 of the unexploded ordnance at the site. The logic

23 there is that if you got an obvious risk, don't

24 spend a lot of time thinking about it, go ahead and

25 clean it up. That was the purpose of actions. What

Page 14

1 that still remain to be cleaned up. These are

2 either bombs or projectiles that were collected and

3 this is a piece of angle containing explosive

4 compound and when that is detonated, when the

5 explosive compound is detonated, hopefully, any of

6 these that are still live rounds will detonate

7 sympathetically, or if they are not live rounds,

8 they will get sliced in half. We will at least know

9 that those threats have been neutralized. So, this

10 is a photograph taken during one of the cleanup

11 efforts a few years ago.

12 Next slide, please. This is the gun range, 13 genuine invitation paper terrorists were stapled to 14 these wooded posts, then 500 or 600 members, not all 15 at once, of course, our little army at the site 16 would shoot at the terrorists. This house was used 17 for clearing exercises. And all together in this 18 area there are close to 70 tons of lead in little 19 115- or 230-grain increments. So, that is a lot of 20 bullets.

21 Next slide, please. Okay. I mentioned 22 already that a cap had been put on the BORAX-I 23 reactor. The BORAX-I reactor, the top of that 24 reactor, was only a bit above grade level. I can't 25 tell you exactly how high. It had a couple earth

Page 16

Page 15

1 I'm going to be describing is the risk-assessment

2 process, when the conclusions are less obvious, and

3 there are potential contaminants in the environment,

4 but some thought and consideration has to be given

5 to what the appropriate cleanup levels might be and

what the necessary actions are and what sites of

those should be addressed.

The risk-assessment process that we used at

Operable Unit 10-04 is the same that is used in

10 Superfund, generally, and at the rest of the INEEL.

11 It can be complex, but, in essence, it's sort of

12 common sense. You want to identify the contaminants

13 in the environment. What are we worried about?

What contaminants are present? How toxic or

15 carcinogenic might they be? You want to identify

16 the pathways for exposure. A contaminant in the

17 environment isn't in and of itself an issue, but if

18 someone is exposed to it or if some part of the

19 ecosystem is exposed in that risk, that pathway

20 needs to be addressed. And correspondingly, the

21 receptors need to be looked at in terms of

22 identifying human and ecological -- that is to say,

23 plant and animal receptors that could be exposed.

Lastly, some quantitative or 24

25 semiguantitative characterization of the risk.

1 How big a risk is there and how does it stack up 2 against the criteria that are generally used for 3 making decisions on these bases?

Looking at the human-health scenarios and 5 recognizing here that we also did look at ecological 6 risk, but for the most part looking at human health. 7 The assessment addressed two primary risk scenarios. 8 Those being an occupational scenario. There are 9 workers currently at the site and also a residential 10 scenario. For the occupational scenario, we looked 11 at current workers as well as workers 100 years in 12 the future. And 100 years being the period during 13 which it's assumed that some sort of federal control 14 will remain over the site.

For residential exposures, we looked at a 16 resident 100 years in the future after control of 17 the site has passed to private hands. And that 18 scenario characterizes our residential use.

For the occupational scenario, just to give 19 20 an idea of the kind of detail that was involved in 21 this evaluation, we specified exposure for a worker 22 of eight hours a day, 250 days a year for a working 23 lifetime of 25 years. This was done for both 24 current workers and a worker 100 years in the 25 future. Primary pathways of concern, as you might

Page 19 1 those kinds of things. For noncarcinogenic effects,

2 we look at something called a hazard index, which

3 relates the exposure to the evaluated individual to

4 a level that we believe below which there would be

5 no hazardous concerns. Above that level, there may

6 or may not be, but below a hazard index of 1, it's

7 unlikely to experience health effects.

8 So, what do we find when we looked at our 9 big site, which takes up most of INEEL? As Glenn 10 said, we started out looking at 50 potential release

11 sites, and we identified nine as having concerns

12 based on the criteria that were on the previous

13 slide. Five of these sites fall into the category

14 of TNT, RDX contamination sites. These are sites

15 where the soil is contaminated with the residues 16 from use of explosive materials.

17 Three of the sites are very large ordnance

18 sites where ordnance was used for one purpose or 19 another, two large bombing areas, and a down-range

20 firing fan where the guns were proof tested for the

21 Naval ordnance.

22 And the third site is the excursion

23 training force gun range with several tons of lead

24 in a fairly small area.

25 This figure shows the five sites for the

Page 18

Page 20

1 imagine for a worker with the types of contaminants

2 that we are talking about are ingestion of soil and

3 absorption of contaminants through the skin or

4 dermal absorption.

The other scenario for the residential use 6 in 100 years was focused on what we generally

7 describe as a rural residential scenario. That is

8 someone living on the site, if can you picture

9 someone farming the area. Deriving most of their

10 livelihood and subsidence from the immediate site so

11 they are exposed to the full range in their

12 lifestyle of exposures to the contaminants,

13 including through food and water, as well as direct

14 exposure through exposure to soil and inhalation.

The human-health evaluation looks at both 16 carcinogenic risks and noncarcinogenic toxic risks.

17 The criteria that are typically used for cancer

18 risks under Superfund programs are cancer risks in

19 the 1 in 10,000 to 1 in 1 million excess lifetime

20 cancer incidents.

21 Those are pretty small risks. Recognize 22 that that is the range at which we conclude that no 23 additional action is needed on this site, and, 24 basically, any potential use for the site would be 25 okay, including children playing in sandboxes and 1 TNT, RDX residual-contamination areas. They are the

2 field station, the land-mine fuse area. The fire

3 station, which is identified as such because of its

4 proximity to the fire station. An area called NOAA

5 after National Oceanographic and Atmospheric

6 Administration and NODA, the Naval Ordnance Disposal

7 Area. These are relatively small sites in all the

vicinity of the Test Reactor Area and INETC.

The contaminants of concern for the

10 sites that we identified include TNT, RDX, 1, 3

11 dinitrobenzene and ordnance and lead. These are the

12 primary contaminants at the five TNT, RDX residual

13 soil contamination sites.

14 Unexploded ordnance identified as UXO, 15 commonly, and lead. Looking at the human health

16 risk results for, again, in this case just for the

17 TNT, RDX sites and for a limiting case, which for

18 these is the future residential scenarios. You can

19 see that the cancer risks for all but one fall above

20 a risk of 1 in 10,000. So, we would consider these

21 to be areas of concern where these risks should be 22 addressed.

23 For the toxic evaluation related to the

24 hazard index for the same sites, all of them fall

25 above the hazard index of one below which we believe

Page 21

1 there would be no potential for risk. So, in one 2 way or another, all five of these sites -- and,

3 again, this is a future residential scenario, pose

4 or give cause for concern.

For the other sites, the gun range has so 6 much lead in it that it is just obviously an area 7 that needs to be addressed from that standpoint. 8 The ordnance areas are unique in my experience of 9 risk assessment at this site. They don't fall into 0 the typical cancer-risk assessment paradigm that we 1 use. But, clearly, they represent a risk. It's a 2 very understandable risk of the contact with 3 ordnance that can lead to death or dismemberment.

4 And both of these are areas that need to be

5 addressed for those purposes.

This is also the site-wide ecological risk 7 Waste Area Group. This is our opportunity to look 8 at ecological risk assessment in the great bulk of 9 the site outside the individual fenced areas that 0 characterize most of the WAGS.

Ecological risk assessment is a little 2 different than human-health risk assessment, but 3 some of the logic is the same. You need to look at 4 pathways. You need to look at receptors. And you 5 need to pay attention to how the contaminants expose

1 population to unexploded ordnance at the site.

Next up is Gerry Winter, who will talk

3 about some of the alternatives for addressing these 4 results.

MR. WINTER: Thank you, Rick. 5

Ecological risk assessment is one of the 7 major aspects of Operable Unit 10-04. The process 8 that was followed is shown on this schematic, which

9 is also shown more graphically on this poster. It

0 looked at the individual WAGs, the ecological risk

1 assessments that were done at the individual WAGs.

2 Summaries were made of those assessments. Then,

3 there was additional work done at the INEEL-wide

4 effort. Then, the last phase will be a monitoring

5 plan, which will be developed this summer.

Remedial-action objectives drive what we 6 will be doing. What you need to retain from this

8 slide, really, are three basic things. We need to 9 try to reduce the risk to humans from exposure to

10 TNT, RDX, lead, and unexploded ordnance, and

ecological receptors to contaminated soil. We have to use these evaluation criteria.

13 The threshold criteria have to be met. And the

14 following slide will show the qualitative assessment

15 for the balancing criteria. What is important at

Page 22

1 this point are the modifying criteria, particularly

2 community input. And that's why we're doing these

3 public hearings. We want your input as to what is

4 being shown as preferred alternatives.

The TNT. RDX contaminated areas can be

6 dealt with in several methods. You will see on all 7 of these alternatives for these different types of

8 contamination a No Action alternative. Assessment

of No Action alternative is required for comparison.

0 The preferred alternative is 3A where the

1 contaminated soil would be removed, treated, and

2 then on-site disposal would occur. And

3 institutional controls would be maintained. You

will see institutional controls appear quite

5 redundantly on these slides a lot is that because of

6 uncertainty with ordnance detection and cleanup.

Alternative 3B is removal, treatment and

8 off site disposal and institutional controls.

9 Alternative 4A is removal of incineration, off-site

disposal, again, institutional controls. And the last alternative, 4B, is removal, composting the

2 soil, and returning that soil to the excavated area

23 and institutional controls.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: I think the proposed plan 24 15 said you were looking at the CFA landfill. I wonder

1 your receptors.

The ecological risk estimates were

3 performed for six basic groups of creatures on the

4 site mammals, birds, insects, plants, reptiles, and

5 amphibians. The Hazard Quotient, which is the 6 decision tool for this purpose is something similar

7 to the hazard index that is used for human-health

8 risk assessment. But the important difference being 9 here that ecological risk assessment, we are looking

0 at impacts on populations. Where in human-health

1 risk assessment, we are concerned with effects on

2 specific individual receptors.

Looking at the results of the ecological

4 risk, we see many of the same sites that occurred in 5 our human-health risk assessment popping up. These

6 six sites represent potential risks to ecological

7 populations. These same six sites also are at issue 8 for our human-health evaluation.

The remaining three sites, the ordnance 0 sites, and from an ecological-risk standpoint, we

1 don't believe that these sites pose an ecological

2 risk. They may pose a risk to individual members of

3 a population at the site, but we don't believe that 4 there is a basis for concluding that there is a

5 population risk that we are at risk of losing a

1 if you would talk a little bit why the CFA instead 2 of the ICDF, which is the landfill designed for

3 CERCLA, contaminated soil. MR. POETON: Part of that is because I

5 think they are still trying to develop the

6 waste-acceptance criteria at ICDF. If I remember

7 correctly, in the RI/FS ICDF is mentioned, as is

8 CFA. Is that correct, Chris?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: So, that decision hadn't 10 been made where on-site disposal would take place?

MR. POETON: Correct. At these 11

12 contaminated-soil sites conducted is a survey,

13 removed the chunks of fragments of TNT and RDX,

14 detonate those fragments, then the waste would be

15 disposed on site, then areas that were excavated, I

16 believe, the criteria is in excess of what will be

17 backfilled and revegetated, and institutional

18 controls.

1 cleaned up.

19 The ordnance areas, a little briefer, we

20 don't have as many alternatives. Again, the

21 No Action alternative; the Limited Action and

22 Institutional Controls is basically what goes on out

23 there now. If there is an action plan where there

24 would be excavation, for instance, there would be a

25 survey, and if ordnance were found it would be

Page 26

Page 28

Page 27

The preferred alternative is 3, which is 2 3 detection, removal, and institutional control.

The preferred alternative is key to really 5 evaluating our methodologies for trying to detect

6 ordnance. We would pick an appropriate

7 site-specific technology, survey to try to define

8 the extent and boundaries of this firing fan and the

9 bombing ranges. There is actually two bombing

10 ranges on the INEEL. We would log the locations of

11 what are probable ordnance detections, confirm, and

12 clear as appropriate. Again, if there are

13 excavations, those will be back filled and

14 revegetated. Again, institutional controls are

15 still needed.

The gun range is a little easier to 16

17 comprehend. As Glenn described, there were many

18 rounds of small arms ammunition fired in berms. We

19 have three alternatives that we are considering.

20 Again, No Action, the preferred alternative is 3A

21 where the contaminated soils would be removed,

22 treated, and disposed. Alternative 3B would be

23 remove and treat and return it to the excavated

24 areas.

25

Preferred alternatives involve evaluating

1 berms, mechanically screening the soil from bullets

2 in casings, which would be sent off site for

3 recycling. We would sample the soils, and those

4 soils that are below the remediation goals would be

5 sent back to the site. Those that are above the

6 goals would be sent on site pending receipt of input

7 from the public, and then contour and revegetate.

At this point in the schedule, we are 8

9 approximately here. We're waiting for public input

10 so that the ROD can be drafted. The April 1 date is

11 going to be affected by the request for extension

12 for public comment on this proposed plan. The scope

13 of work is not due until September. The draft work

14 plan, a year from now. And remedial action would

15 begin October 2003.

16 The ecological-risk assessment was the

17 final step in the eco-assessment of the INEEL. It

18 included, as I mentioned, looking at the individual

19 WAGs, looking at ecologically sensitive areas,

20 sampling of mice and plants, insects, surveys, study

21 long-term vegetation changes.

22 Habitat, presence of species that are

23 threatened and endangered, sensitive species, et

24 cetera. Radiological data that has been collected.

25 And what is important to note is the percentage of

1 the INEEL that is affected by the WAG facilities and

2 the TNT, RDX contaminated areas. It's a small

3 percentage of the total INEEL, which is 890 square

4 miles.

18

As I mentioned at the start, because there 5

6 were uncertainties and some pretty hefty assumptions

7 made in ecological assessment, risk assessment,

8 we're going to do ecological monitoring. This

9 monitoring will be conducted under a long-term

10 stewardship program. And a monitoring plan will be

11 developed this summer.

12 In summary, 50 sites were identified. Nine

13 of them pose unacceptable risk to humans or

14 ecoreceptors. The ERA results indicate minimal risk

15 to the ecological populations and the combined costs

16 for the preferred alternatives is 24 million.

17 Are there any questions?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Could you go back to the

19 flowchart that you put up as the very first slide?

20 MR. POETON: Okay.

21 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm interested in the

22 very bottom of the flowchart while we are looking

23 for the slide. Could be describe for me what a

24 remedial risk assessment is?

25 MR. POETON: We have two risk-assessment

Page 32

Page 29

1 people here from DOE. I prefer that they tackle

2 that. I'm a hydrogeologist.

3 STAFF MEMBER: I think there is some

4 evaluation in performing an ecological cleanup.

5 There would be an evaluation of the remedial action

6 as well as posing possible risk to destroying

7 habitat.

8 AUDIENCE MEMBER: So, I'm a little

9 concerned. I just want to make sure I understand

10 the bottom. I don't see a loop out that says, "Do

11 remediation." I see "Are baseline risks

12 significant? Yes, do a risk assessment." Go to

13 monitoring then loop around. I don't see where

14 remediation is a step that you take.

Robin, do you see what I'm talking about?

16 I don't know if a remedial risk assessment means do

17 remediation and then another risk assessment or do a

18 risk assessment prior to doing remediation.

19 STAFF MEMBER: Yes, if you went through and

20 found that you had baseline ecological risks you

21 would then go and do a remedial risk assessment to

22 determine whether you needed to do remedial actions.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: So, I assume there is

24 actually a line out that goes to remediation.

25 MR. NELSON: There should be; however, we

VC

Page 1 didn't go into that box.

2 AUDIENCE MEMBER: So, if I can assume along

3 the same lines, then, are there risk assessments

4 both for the environment and for people for each of

5 the alternatives that are proposed?

6 MR. POETON: For each of the contaminated

7 site.

23

8 AUDIENCE MEMBER: No, for each of the

9 proposed alternatives.

10 STAFF MEMBER: There is an evaluation of

11 our impacts when we do a remediation action to the

12 environment underneath. However, this is sort of a

13 separate issue for the site-wide ecological risk

14 assessment.

15 MR. POETON: Did that get your question?

16 AUDIENCE MEMBER: It did.

17 MR. POETON: Any other questions?

18 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Since there is no risk

19 for UXO, human health risk, how do you come up with

20 an RAO? What will be the measurement of success for

1 ' ---- T

21 cleaning up UXO? Is as appropriate the best that we

22 can do now?

MR. POETON: Well, I think there is a very

24 real health risk for UXO, there just isn't any

25 carcinogenic health risk. The prospective that we

1 took on this was that some kind of institutional

1 took on this was that some kind of institutional

2 controls would be necessary to restrict access or

3 just maintain control over an area to prevent

4 contact with UXO people that would result in harm to

5 them from explosions. The level of that

6 institutional control can vary depending on the

7 exact nature of the site.

8 We also feel that, as with our removal

9 actions, when we know there is UXO out there, and

10 some of it surfaces from year to year as a result of

11 frost heaves, that the right thing to do is to clean

12 it up when you find it. So, there is really --

13 there are two pieces to the logic there. One is to

14 survey it and identify it and remove it when you

15 find it and the other is to maintain controls over

16 it because you know in all probability you don't

17 have it all.

18 AUDIENCE MEMBER: So, when you do the

19 investigation part or however you're going to detect

20 the UXO as part of the cleanup of UXO, what will be

21 the remedial-action objective? What is the

22 measurement of success? A 100 percent cleanup of

23 what you found?

MR. POETON: The remedial-action objective

25 would be to prevent explosive injury to people from

Page 30

1 contact with ordnance. And the way we would do that

2 is twofold. One, to find as much as we can on a

3 reasonable basis, and the second part is to maintain

4 control over the property as long as we aren't

5 convinced that we have it all. We don't think it's

6 possible to go out and find a 100 percent basis.

7 There are too many examples in the last few years

8 where people have gone back over decades and keep

9 finding UXO in areas. So, it's a risk that demands

10 with current technology constant attention.

11 Any other questions?

12 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I have one more question

13 that probably Robin needs to answer this one too.

14 But the issue of sensitive species on page 10 of the

15 proposed plan it lists threatened endangered

16 species, sensitive species, species of concern,

17 including 11 species of plants, 20 birds, and

18 mammals. But I notice that the site-wide ERA

19 specifically makes an assumption that we will not

20 look at sensitive species.

So, I wondered they couldn't quite

22 reconcile those two facts.

23 MS. VAN HORN: Basically, what we did for

24 the site wide is looked at whether there was habitat

25 for sensitive species in some of those areas that

- 1 were contaminated. And we did more of a habitat
- 2 analysis: Are there sensitive species in these
- 3 areas? And there are some, and that is documented
- 4 in the comprehensive. But to go further than that
- 5 with no protection based on federally protected
- 6 to go to the individual level we remained at a
- 7 population analysis.
- 8 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Are the threatened
- 9 endangered species there?
- 10 MS. VAN HORN: No.
- 11 MR. POETON: Any other questions?
- 12 MR. SIMPSON: Since it's fairly early, I
- 13 vote that we just move to the public-comment session
- 14 of the meeting, unless there are some objections, if
- 15 anyone wants to take a break first.
- This is the portion of the meeting where
- 17 you make comments to the agencies. And your
- 18 comments, as I mentioned earlier, will be recorded
- 19 by our court reporter verbatim. And the agencies
- 20 will address your comments in the Responsiveness
- 21 Summary section of the Record of Decision. That
- 22 Record of Decision is scheduled to be signed
- 23 sometime this year, this fiscal year.
- 24 So, with that I would like to -- I will ask
- 25 that people make comments take the microphone. We

- Page 35 disposed there as very easily absorbed aerosols from
- 2 various operations such as smelting and that kind of
- 3 thing. Let's spend the money where it could be more
- 4 effectively used rather than where it's not
- 5 necessary.
- 6 MR. SIMPSON: Thanks. I forget to mention
- 7 if you do make comments, please state your name and
- 8 address.
- 9 AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is John
- 10 Commander. My address is 170 Field Stream Lane,
- 11 Idaho Falls 834O4. I didn't say -- my
- 12 recommendation would be the No. 1 recommendation,
- 13 that is do nothing at the present time.
- 14 MR. SIMPSON: Thank you. Anyone else?
- 15 Boy, easy crowd.
- 16 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Maxine Bacons, University
- 17 of Idaho, 1776 Science Center Drive, Idaho Falls. I
- 18 have a couple of comments, and most of my concerns
- 19 came up in the question period. But I guess I share
- 20 John's concern about the money that it will cost,
- 21 and especially related to putting material in the
- 22 CFA landfill.
- I can't quite bend my mind around why we
- 24 would spend 44 million to pick up the soil and dump
- 25 it in an industrial landfill that is not a hazardous

Page 34

1 want to make sure -- to keep Nancy on our good side.

- 2 AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is John
- 3 Commander. I have been a site employee for pretty
- 4 close to 40 years. I'm aware that the site has been
- 5 surveyed for unexploded ordnance on at least four
- 6 different occasions. And that was during the period
- 7 from 1993 to 1997. And it appears to me that
- 8 spending another 16.5 million to find additional UXO
- 9 doesn't make cost-effective sense. The money would
- 10 be better spent cleaning up land mines in many
- 11 countries where death and maiming occur from the
- 12 land mines on a daily occurrence. We haven't had a
- 13 death site since the -- there has not been one death
- 14 from the site from unexploded ordnance since the
- 15 site was stared in 1949.
- 16 Spending 4.3 billion TNT and RDX
- 17 remediation is not necessary. Both of these items
- 18 are biodegradable. Over a period of 100 years there
- 19 won't be any trace of those materials. Spending
- 20 3.5 million for salt, lead, and copper removal is
- 21 not cost effective. Solid lead is not easily
- 22 assimilated by any receptors.
- We would be better off to spend that money
- 24 in the many cities in Idaho that have lead that is
- 25 ingested and inhaled by the population because it's

Page 36

- 1 waste landfill. It doesn't have leachate
- 2 collection. It doesn't eco-protection. To me, that
- 3 doesn't pass the gable test. I don't get it. If
- 4 we're going to dig it up and if it is hazardous,
- 5 then put it in the ICDF. If we have to wait until
- 6 the ICDF is ready, then let's wait until the ICDF is
- 7 ready. If it's not hazardous, why will we spend
- 8 \$4 million to clean it up?
- 9 I'm also concerned -- because the history
- 10 of environmental regulations is that they get
- 11 tighter and tighter. We often have to go back and
- 12 redo things. I am concerned that we might have to
- 13 go back and dig up the CFA landfill because we
- 14 dumped stuff in it that we thought was okay today
- 15 but it might not be okay 10 to 20 years from now.
- My concern about the flowchart is a more
- 17 general concern about ecological work, general at 18 the site. I think that it's been given short shrift
- 18 the site. I think that it's occil given short shift
- 19 at the INEEL for many years that the human-health 20 risks have been really focused on and the ecological
- 21 risks have been sort of pushed aside.
- I was on the Citizens' Advisory Board for
- 23 several years. I was vice chair for one year. I
- 24 was actually on the CAB when we reviewed these
- 25 proposed plans that said, "We will defer that site

rebluary 12, 2002, Idano Falls, Idano Cond	chseit! Operable Unit 10-04 Fublic Meeting
Page 3	Page 39
1 to WAG-10. We will handle that in WAG-10." As I	STATE OF IDAHO) Ss.
2 read through this, there are two sites that pose	2 County of Ada)
3 ecological risks that are not due to be touched	3
4 according to this proposed plan because they don't	4 I, NANCY SCHWARTZ, Certified Court Reporter
5 pose ecological risk. They will be ignored. I look	5 No. 483 and Notary Public in and for the State of
6 at the flowcharts. I don't see cleanup in there	6 Idaho, do hereby certify:
7 for ecological damage.	7 That said hearing was taken down by me in
8 MR. POETON: Just one more point about	8 shorthand at the time and place therein named and
9 that. Human health risk assessments are often	9 thereafter reduced to computer type, and that the
10 criticized, sometimes rightly so, for having	10 foregoing transcript contains a true and correct
11 human-threat scenarios in the future.	11 record of the said hearing, all done to the best of
12 Hypothetically, someone is going to live there in	12 my skill and ability.
13 100 years.	13 I further certify that I have no interest
While I have done risk assessment myself,	14 in the event of the action.
15 it is hypothetical, but ecological risks are less	15 WITNESS my hand and seal this 25th day
16 hypothetical. There are receptors there now and	16 of March 2002.
17 those risks are there today. So, if you want to get	17 of March 2002.
18 away from hypothetical, look at ecological risk. I	18
19 guess it's not acceptable to me to toss those off	Nancy Schwartz, Notary 19 Public in and for the
20 and say we will not clean up just because it's	20 State of Idaho
21 ecological. I will also submit comments in writing.	21
22 MR. SIMPSON: Anyone else? As we mentioned	22
23 earlier, the comment period on this project has been	My commission expires:
24 extended and ends on March 29th.	23 March 19, 2007
	24
25 And with that, thank you for your	25
Page 3	8
1 attendance tonight and good night.	
2 (Meeting concluded.)	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	·
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF IDAHO)
2) Ss. County of Ada)
3	
4	I, NANCY SCHWARTZ, Certified Court Reporter
5	No. 483 and Notary Public in and for the State of
6	Idaho, do hereby certify:
7	That said hearing was taken down by me in
8	shorthand at the time and place therein named and
9	thereafter reduced to computer type, and that the
10	foregoing transcript contains a true and correct
11	record of the said hearing, all done to the best of
12	my skill and ability.
13	I further certify that I have no interest
14	in the event of the action.
15	WITNESS my hand and seal this 25th day
16	of March 2002.
17	
18	Honey Schwaf
19	Nancy/Schwartz,/Notary
20	Public in and for the State of Idaho
21	
22	SCHWAP AND
23	My commission expires:
24	My commission expires: March 19, 2007 PUBLICAN ATE OF LINE
25	PUB PORTO
	A TE OF

			February 12, 200	2, Idaho Falls, Idaho
	38-page [1] 8:25	23:13 34:8	15:5,7 19:19 20:1,21 21:8	11:8
 -\$-	3A [2] 24:10 26:20	address [3] 33:20 35:8	21:14,19 24:5 25:15,19	big [3] 13:16 17:1 19:9
\$4[1] 36:8	3B _[2] 24:17 26:22	35:10	26:24 27:19 28:2 32:9,25	billion [1] 34:16
30:0	[2] 2 2	addressed [6] 16:7,20	33:3	biodegradable [1]
	-4-	17:7 20:22 21:7,15	arms [1] 26:18	34:18
		addressing [1] 23:3	army [2] 11:2 14:15	birds [2] 22:4 32:17
1 [9] 8:20 18:19,19,19 19:6	4.3 [1] 34:16	Administration [1]	artillery [3] 11:17,21	bit [2] 14:24 25:1
20:10,20 27:10 35:12	40 [1] 34:4	20:6	12:17	
1,000 [1] 8:23	44 [1] 35:24	Advisory [2] 9:12 36:22	as-found [1] 13:3	Board [2] 9:12 36:22
1,500[1] 8:23	483 [1] 39:5	aerial [1] 12:3	aside [1] 36:21	Boise [3] 1:7 7:1 13:8
10 [11] 6:18 7:23 8:1,7	4A [1] 2 4:19	aerosols [1] 35:1	aspects [1] 23:7	bomb [1] 12:17
9:23,24 10:4,5 15:2 32:14	4B [1] 24:21	affected [2] 27:11 28:1	assessment [27] 2:6 4:13	bombing [3] 19:19 26:9
36:15		affects [1] 13:19	15:18 17:7 21:9,10,18,21	26:9
10,000 [2] 18:19 20:20	-5-	again [7] 20:16 21:3	21:22 22:8,9,11,15 23:6	bombs [1] 14:2
10-04 [7] 1:1 4:15 8:3,6		24:20 25:20 26:12,14,20	23:24 24:8 27:16 28:7,7	booth [1] 7:4
10:9 16:9 23:7	50 [3] 10:12 19:10 28:12	against [1] 17:2	28:24 29:12,16,17,18,21 30:14 37:14	BORAX [3] 10:2 12:4,4
10-08[1] 8:8	500 [2] 11:2 14:14	1 =		BORAX-I [3] 12:8
100 [9] 17:11,12,16,24		agencies [2] 33:17,19 Agency [4] 2:4 4:19 6:18	assessments [4] 23:11 23:12 30:3 37:9	14:22,23
18:6 31:22 32:6 34:18	-6-	7:15	assimilated [1] 34:22	bottom [3] 12:18 28:22
37:13	6 [3] 9:22,24 10:1	1	1	29:10
11 [1] 32:17	60 [1] 11:8	agenda [2] 5:1,25	assume [2] 29:23 30:2	boulders [1] 12:11
115[1] 14:19	600 [2] 11:2 14:14	ago [3] 7:13 11:1 14:11	assumed [1] 17:13	boundaries [1] 26:8
12[1] 1:3		agreement [2] 7:16 8:16	assumption [1] 32:19	box [1] 30:1
15 [1] 11:1	-7-	ahead [1] 15:24	assumptions [1] 28:6	Boy [1] 35:15
16 [1] 2:6		aid[1] 10:17	Atmospheric [1] 20:5	break [2] 5:13 33:15
16.5 [1] 34:8	7 [2] 2:5 4:1	alien [1] 13:12	attacks [1] 11:4	brief [1] 5:25
170 [1] 35:10	70 [3] 11:6,8 14:18	almost [4] 9:20,23,23	attendance [1] 38:1	briefer [1] 25:19
1776 [1] 35:17		15:4	attention [2] 21:25 32:10	briefing[1] 7:11
19[1] 39:23		along [1] 30:2	audience [17] 13:8 24:24	broken [1] 12:18
1949 [1] 34:15	80,000 [1] 11:6	alternative [11] 24:8,9	25:9 28:18,21 29:8,23	brought [1] 10:18
1993 [1] 34:7	83404[1] 35:11	24:10,17,19,21 25:21 26:2		buildings [1] 13:18
1997 [1] 34:7	83702[1] 1:7	26:4,20,22	33:8 34:2 35:9,16	bulk [1] 21:18
1997[1] 54.7	890[1] 28:3	alternatives [10] 6:22 23:3 24:4,7 25:20 26:19	aware [1] 34:4	bullet [1] 4:10
-2-	55 5 (2) -555	26:25 28:16 30:5,9	away [2] 15:14 37:18	
	-9-	Alternatives/Summary		bullets [2] 14:20 27:1
2 [1] 8:20		[1] 2:7	B	
20 [2] 32:17 36:15	90,000 [1] 11:6	ammunition [2] 12:1	backfilled [1] 25:17	<u>-C-</u>
2000 [1] 4:18		26:18	background[1] 6:17	CAB [1] 36:24
2001 [1] 4:1	-A-	amphibians [1] 22:5	Background/Overview	calendar [1] 9:11
2002 [2] 1:3 39:16	ability [1] 39:12	analysis [4] 7:3 10:9	[1] 2:5	calibrating [1] 11:20
2003 [1] 27:15	above [6] 14:24 15:3 19:5	33:2,7	Bacons [1] 35:16	cancer [4] 18:17,18,20
2007 [1] 39:23	20:19,25 27:5	Anderson [1] 1:6	balancing [1] 23:25	20:19
208 (1) 1:8	absorbed [1] 35:1	angle [1] 14:3	bang [1] 10:20	cancer-risk [1] 21:10
230-grain [1] 14:19	absorption [2] 18:3,4	animal [1] 16:23	bangs [1] 11:24	cap [1] 14:22
24 [2] 2:7 28:16	acceptable [1] 37:19	animals [1] 12:13	barrels [2] 11:21 13:16	capped [2] 12:8,9
2421 [1] 1:6	accepted [1] 11:13	answer[1] 32:13	based [3] 11:10 19:12	carcinogenic [3] 16:15
25 [1] 17:23	access [1] 31:2	ANSWERS [1] 2:9	33:5	18:16 30:25
	according [1] 37:4		baseline [2] 29:11,20	case [2] 20:16,17
250 [1] 17:22	acknowledges [1] 7:17	anti-tank [1] 13:5	bases [1] 17:3	casings [1] 27:2
25th[1] 39:15	acres [2] 15:9,9	anyway [1] 15:9		
28 [1] 13:10		appear[1] 24:14	basic [4] 10:17,18 22:3 23:18	Capter 25:17
29 [1] 2:9	acted [1] 9:20	Appendix [1] 11:14	basis [3] 22:24 32:3,6	Center [1] 35:17
29th [2] 9:15 37:24	action [12] 4:23 18:23 24:8,9 25:21,21,23 26:20	appropriate [4] 16:5		CERCLA [2] 8:18 25:3
	27:14 29:5 30:11 39:14	26:6,12 30:21	begin [1] 27:15	certainly [1] 9:6
-3-	actions [5] 15:21,25 16:6	approved [1] 8:12	behaved [1] 12:1	certificate [1] 9:21
3 [3] 2:3 20:10 26:2	29:22 31:9	April [1] 27:10	below [4] 19:4,6 20:25 27:4	Certified [1] 39:4
3.5 [1] 34:20	activities [2] 7:18,19	area [25] 4:22 7:21,22,25		certify [2] 39:6,13
30 [1] 9:11	activity [1] 7:20	8:1 9:22,22 11:7 12:4,7,8	bend[1] 35:23	cetera [1] 27:24
30-day [2] 9:12,13	Ada[1] 39:2	12:12 13:13,14 14:18 18:9 19:24 20:2,4,7,8 21:6,17	berms [3] 15:1 26:18 27:1	CFA [5] 24:25 25:1,8
345-2773 [1] 1:8	added[1] 10:8	24:22 31:3	best [2] 30:21 39:11	35:22 36:13
35 [1] 2:11	additional [3] 18:23	areas [20] 7:20 13:12,17	better [2] 34:10,23	chair [1] 36:23
[1]11			between [3] 8:16 9:22	changes [1] 27:21
Nancy Schwartz Rep	orting (208) 245 27	77		Index Page 1

Operable Unit 10-04
characterization [1]
characterize [1] 21:20
characterizes [1] 17:18 charge [1] 13:2
cheerfully [1] 11:13 children [1] 18:25
choose [1] 10:21
chore [1] 9:2 Chris [1] 25:8
chunks [1] 25:13
circles [1] 13:12 cities [1] 34:24
Citizens' [2] 9:11 36:22
clay [1] 12:10 clean [4] 15:25 31:11
36:8 37:20 cleaned [4] 14:1 15:7,10
26:1
cleaning [2] 30:21 34:10 cleanup [12] 4:8,16 5:19
7:18 13:23 14:10 16:5 24:16 29:4 31:20,22 37:6
clear [1] 26:12 clearing [1] 14:17
clearly [1] 21:11
close [2] 14:18 34:4 closer [1] 12:22
collected [2] 14:2 27:24
collection [1] 36:2 combined [1] 28:15
coming [1] 5:2 Commander [2] 34:3
35:10
comment [5] 5:15,15,19 27:12 37:23
comments [12] 5:18,21 5:22 9:7,15 33:17,18,20
33:25 35:7,18 37:21 commission [1] 39:23
common [1] 16:12
commonly [1] 20:15 community [2] 4:5 24:2
compared [1] 15:7 comparison [1] 24:9
complete [1] 10:9
complex [1] 16:11 components [3] 10:21
10:22 13:5 composting [1] 24:21
compound [2] 14:4,5
compounds [1] 12:23 comprehend [1] 26:17
comprehensive [1] 33:4
computer [1] 39:9 concern [8] 17:25 20:9
20:21 21:4 32:16 35:20 36:16,17
concerned [5] 7:24 22:11 29:9 36:9,12
·

I done weeting	Condonsor.
concerning [1] 8:8	course [1] 14:15
concerns [3] 19:5,11	court [3] 5:16 33:19 39
35:18	craters [1] 13:20
conclude [1] 18:22	create [1] 13:18
concluded [1] 38:2	creatures [1] 22:3
concludes [1] 15:15	criteria [9] 17:2 18:17
concluding [1] 22:24	19:12 23:22,23,25 24:1
conclusions [1] 16:2	25:6,16
condition [1] 13:3	criticized [1] 37:10
condoned [1] 9:21	crop [1] 13:12
conducted [2] 25:12	crowd [1] 35:15
28:9	current [3] 17:11,24 32:10
confirm[1] 26:11	32.10
Consent [2] 6:11 7:16	-D -
consequence [1] 11:6	
consider [1] 20:20	D[1] 2:1
considerable [1] 15:8	daily [1] 34:12
consideration [1] 16:4	damage [1] 37:7
considering [1] 26:19	dark [1] 12:21
constant [1] 32:10	data [1] 27:24
constituents [2] 12:19 12:20	date [2] 9:16 27:10
contact [3] 21:12 31:4	days [2] 9:11 17:22 deals [2] 4:8 8:3
32:1	dealt [2] 4:22 24:6
contained [2] 10:1,8	death [4] 21:13 34:11,1
containing [1] 14:3	34:13
contains [2] 8:13 39:10	decades [1] 32:8
contaminant [1] 16:16	December [1] 4:18
contaminants [10] 8:4	decision [6] 4:23 6:11
16:3,12,14 18:1,3,12 20:9	22:6 25:9 33:21,22
20:12 21:25	decisions [1] 17:3
contaminated [9] 19:15 23:21 24:5,11 25:3 26:21	defer[1] 36:25
28:2 30:6 33:1	define [1] 26:7
contaminated-soil [1]	defused [1] 13:7
25:12	degree [1] 11:19
contamination [3]	demands [1] 32:9
19:14 20:13 24:8	demolished [1] 13:18
contour[1] 27:7	density [1] 15:10
control [6] 17:13,16 26:3 31:3,6 32:4	Department [6] 4:19, 6:16,21 7:13 8:17
controls [10] 24:13,14	depending [1] 31:6
24:18,20,23 25:18,22	depth [2] 13:1,2
26:14 31:2,15	Deriving [1] 18:9
convinced [1] 32:5	dermal [1] 18:4
coordinator[1] 4:5	describe [2] 18:7 28:2
copies [1] 5:20	described [2] 15:20
copper [1] 34:20	26:17
copy [2] 9:5,6	describing [1] 16:1
correct [3] 25:8,11 39:10	designations [1] 4:14
correctly [1] 25:7	designed [2] 13:9 25:
correspondingly [1]	destroying [1] 29:6
cost [2] 34:21 35:20	detail [1] 17:20
cost-effective [1] 34:9	detect [2] 26:5 31:19
costs [1] 28:15	detection [2] 24:16 2
countries [1] 34:11	detections [1] 26:11
County [1] 39:2	determine [1] 29:22
couple [3] 14:25 15:9	detonate [4] 10:24 13
35:18	14:6 25:14
	detonated [2] 14:4,5

Condenselt!
course [1] 14:15
court [3] 5:16 33:19 39:4
craters [1] 13:20
create [1] 13:18
creatures [1] 22:3
criteria [9] 17:2 18:17 19:12 23:22,23,25 24:1 25:6,16 criticized [1] 37:10 crop [1] 13:12 crowd [1] 35:15 current [3] 17:11,24 32:10
-D-
D _[1] 2:1
daily [1] 34:12
damage [1] 37:7
dark [1] 12:21
1

data [1] 27:24 date [2] 9:16 27:10 days [2] 9:11 17:22 deals [2] 4:8 8:3 dealt [2] 4:22 24:6 death [4] 21:13 34:11,13 34:13 decades [1] 32:8 December [1] 4:18 decision [6] 4:23 6:11 22:6 25:9 33:21,22 decisions [1] 17:3 defer[1] 36:25 **define** [1] 26:7 defused [1] 13:7 degree (11 11:19 demands [1] 32:9 demolished rr 13:18 density [1] 15:10 **Department** [6] 4:19,20 6:16,21 7:13 8:17 **depending** [1] 31:6 depth [2] 13:1,2 **Deriving** [1] 18:9 dermal [1] 18:4 describe [2] 18:7 28:23 described [2] 15:20 26:17 describing [1] 16:1 designations [1] 4:14 designed [2] 13:9 25:2 destroying [1] 29:6 detail [1] 17:20 detect [2] 26:5 31:19 **detection** [2] 24:16 26:3 detections [1] 26:11 **determine** [1] 29:22 detonate [4] 10:24 13:9 14:6 25:14

develop [1] 25:5 developed [2] 23:15 28:11 device [2] 13:6.9 die [1] 11:24 difference [1] 22:8 different [4] 10:21 21:22 24:7 34:6 dig [3] 12:14 36:4,13 dinitrobenzene [1] 20:11 direct [1] 18:13 direction [1] 9:8 discuss [3] 4:11 6:16,22 dismemberment [1] 21:13 disposal [5] 20:6 24:12 24:18,20 25:10 disposed [3] 25:15 26:22 **distance** [1] 15:14 divides [1] 7:20 document [10] 6:9 7:15 7:17 8:10,13,24 9:1,3,7 9:14 documentation [1] 9:25 documented [1] 33:3 documents [4] 6:7 8:11 8:20 10:14 **DOE** [1] 29:1 DOE-ID [1] 2:5 doesn't [5] 15:13 34:9 36:1,2,3 done [7] 5:6 13:21 17:23 23:11,13 37:14 39:11 down (11 39:7 down-range [1] 19:19 draft [1] 27:13 drafted [1] 27:10 drive [2] 23:16 35:17 due [2] 27:13 37:3 dump [1] 35:24 dumped [1] 36:14 during [7] 5:8 10:13 11:18,19 14:10 17:12 34:6

-E-E[1] 2:1 early [1] 33:12 earth [1] 14:25 easier [1] 26:16 easily [2] 34:21 35:1 easy [1] 35:15 EBR-1 [1] 10:2 eco-assessment[1] 27:17 eco-protection [1] 36:2 ecological [29] 4:12 10:10 16:22 17:5 21:16 21:18,21 22:2,9,13,16,21

23:6,10,21 28:7,8,15 29:4 29:20 30:13 36:17.20 37:3 37:5,7,15,18,21 ecological-risk [2] 22:20 27:16 ecologically [1] 27:19 ecoreceptors [1] 28:14 ecosystem [1] 16:19 effective [1] 34:21 effectively [1] 35:4 effects [3] 19:1,7 22:11 effort[1] 23:14 efforts [2] 13:23 14:11 eight [2] 7:22 17:22 either [2] 12:23 14:2 electronically [1] 5:22 embedded [1] 12:17 employee [1] 34:3 encompassed [1] 7:25 end [4] 6:6 9:13,18 12:18 endangered [3] 27:23 32:15 33:9 ends [2] 10:6 37:24 energy [5] 4:19 6:16 7:14 8:17 13:10 **enforce** [1] 9:17 entire [1] 10:11 environment [5] 16:3 16:13,17 30:4,12 environmental [8] 4:1 4:18,20 6:18,21 7:14,18 36:10 EPA [4] 2:6 8:16 11:11 15:17 ERA [2] 28:14 32:18 Erik [2] 2:3 4:4 especially [1] 35:21 essence [3] 11:2 15:5 16:11 estimates [1] 22:2 et [1] 27:23 evaluated [1] 19:3 evaluating [2] 26:5,25 evaluation [8] 17:21 18:15 20:23 22:18 23:22 29:4,5 30:10 evening [2] 6:6 9:6 event[1] 39:14 eventually [1] 11:21 everybody [1] 9:4 exact[1] 31:7 exactly [1] 14:25

examples [1] 32:7

25:15 26:23

excavated [3] 24:22

excavation [1] 25:24

excavations [1] 26:13

excess [2] 18:19 25:16

excursion [1] 19:22

exercises [1] 14:17

February 12, 2002, Idaho Falls, Idaho

Operable Unit 10-0
experience [2] 19:7 21:
experiment [1] 13:19
experimentation [1] 13:20
expires [1] 39:23
explain [1] 6:19
explode [2] 10:23 11:25
explosions [1] 31:5
explosive [9] 12:18,19 12:23,24 13:19 14:3,5 19:16 31:25
expose [1] 21:25
exposed [4] 16:18,19,23 18:11
exposure [6] 16:16 17:2 18:14,14 19:3 23:19
exposures [2] 17:15 18:12
extended [1] 37:24
extension [2] 9:12 27:11
extent [1] 26:8
extremely [1] 12:11
-F-
facets [1] 4:9
facilitator[1] 4:6
facilities [3] 7:23 10:2 28:1
facility [3] 6:10,11 7:16
fact [2] 6:12 13:12
facts [1] 32:22
failed nr 9:19

l**tailed** rii 9:19 **fairly** [5] 5:6,7 8:20 19:24 33:12 fall rs1 4:24 19:13 20:19 20:24 21:9 Falls [4] 1:4 4:1 35:11,17 fan [2] 19:20 26:8 farming [1] 18:9 Feasibility [3] 6:9 8:21 11:15 **FEBRUARY** [2] 1:3 4:1 federal [3] 6:11 7:16 17:13 federally [1] 33:5 feet [2] 15:2,13 fenced (1) 21:19 few [5] 12:5 13:22,24 14:11 32:7 FFA/CO [2] 9:20 10:3 field [2] 20:2 35:10 figure [1] 19:25 filled [1] 26:13 final [2] 7:3 27:17 finalized [1] 11:10 finally [1] 12:11

finding [1] 32:9

fingers [1] 10:22

fire [2] 20:2,4

8 **fired** [3] 11:9,23 26:18 firing [2] 19:20 26:8 first [5] 5:3 6:25 8:2 28:19 33:15 fiscal (1) 33:23 five [4] 19:13,25 20:12 21:2 flat [1] 13:17 flowchart [3] 28:19,22 36:16 flowcharts [1] 37:6 focused [2] 18:6 36:20 folks [4] 5:1 7:1,5 11:4 followed rr 23:8 following [2] 5:10 23:24 food [1] 18:13 force [2] 11:25 19:23 foregoing [1] 39:10 forget [1] 35:6 form[1] 5:19 formal [2] 5:14,15 forth [2] 8:10,11 forthcoming [1] 12:9 found [4] 10:22 25:25 29:20 31:23 four [2] 4:9 34:5 fourth [1] 7:9 fragments [3] 4:10 25:13 25:14 free [1] 5:9 frost [1] 31:11 full [2] 11:25 18:11 fully [1] 11:13 fuse m 20:2 fuss [1] 8:14 future [7] 6:3 17:12,16 17:25 20:18 21:3 37:11 -G-

gable [1] 36:3 general [3] 13:19 36:17 36:17 generally [4] 7:12 16:10 17:2 18:6 genuine [2] 13:1 14:13 Gerry [3] 2:7 6:20 23:2 given [2] 16:4 36:18 Glenn [7] 2:5 6:15,24 15:19,20 19:9 26:17 goals (2) 27:4.6 goes [2] 25:22 29:24 gone [2] 10:20 32:8 good [4] 7:4,6 34:1 38:1 grabbed [1] 5:1 grade [2] 14:24 15:3 granted [1] 9:13 graphically [1] 23:9 gravel [1] 12:10 great [2] 6:25 21:18

greater [1] 15:2 greatly [1] 15:11 ground [2] 12:14,21 groundwater [2] 4:22 8.9 Group [5] 8:1,1 9:22,23 21:17 groups [6] 7:20,21,22 10:17,18 22:3 guess [3] 8:23 35:19 37:19 gun [5] 13:16 14:12 19:23

21:5 26:16 guns [1] 19:20 -Hhabitat [4] 27:22 29:7 32:24 33:1 half [2] 8:5 14:8 hand [2] 5:9 39:15 handful [1] 7:5 handle [1] 37:1 hands (1) 17:17 **harm** (11 31:4 hazard [6] 19:2,6 20:24 20:25 22:5.7 hazardous [4] 19:5 35:25 36:4,7 heading [1] 9:8 health [7] 17:6 19:7 20:15 30:19,24,25 37:9 **hear** (11 5:4 hearing [2] 39:7,11 hearings [1] 24:3 heaves [1] 31:11 hefty [1] 28:6 held [2] 4:16 7:3 **hereby** (1) 39:6 high[1] 14:25 **highest** [1] 15:6 history [1] 36:9 HMX (11 13:1

holes [1] 12:14 hopefully [1] 14:5 HORN [2] 32:23 33:10 hours [1] 17:22 house [1] 14:16 huge [1] 6:9 human [5] 16:22 17:6 20:15 30:19 37:9 human-health [8] 17:4 18:15 21:22 22:7,10,15 22:18 36:19 human-threat [1] 37:11 humans [2] 23:19 28:13 hundred [2] 12:6 15:9 hundreds [1] 11:9 hydrogeologist [1] 29:2 hypothetical [3] 37:15 37:16,18

Hypothetically [1] 37:12 -I-ICDF [6] 25:2,6,7 36:5,6 36:6 Idaho [16] 1:4,4,7 4:1,20 6:20 7:15 8:17 11:11 34:24 35:11,17,17 39:1,6 39:20 idea [2] 7:2 17:20 identified [7] 10:13,15 19:11 20:3,10,14 28:12 identify [3] 16:12,15 31:14 identifying [1] 16:22 ignored [1] 37:5 II [1] 11:18 imagine [1] 18:1 immediate [2] 13:25 18:10 impacts [2] 22:10 30:11 important [4] 9:1 22:8 23:25 27:25 impressions [1] 6:1 inactive [1] 10:3 incidents [1] 18:20 incineration [1] 24:19 include [1] 20:10 included [1] 27:18 including [3] 18:13.25 32:17 incorporated [1] 11:13 increments [1] 14:19 index [5] 19:2,6 20:24,25 22:7 indicate [1] 28:14 individual [8] 19:3 21:19 22:12,22 23:10,11 27:18 33:6 industrial [1] 35:25 INEEL [15] 4:5 7:19,24 8:9 10:10 11:1,20 13:3 16:10 19:9 26:10 27:17 28:1,3 36:19 INEEL-wide [2] 4:12 23:13 INETC [1] 20:8 informal [1] 5:7 ingested [1] 34:25 ingestion [1] 18:2 inhalation[1] 18:14 inhaled [1] 34:25 injury [1] 31:25 input [11] 4:8,21 6:1 9:7 9:10 11:10,12 24:2,3 27:6 27:9 insects [2] 22:4 27:20

instance [1] 25:24

institutional [11] 24:13

instead [1] 25:1

experience - lesser 24:14.18.20.23 25:17.22 26:3.14 31:1.6 interest [1] 39:13 interested [11 28:21 interesting [1] 7:6 Internet [1] 5:23 introduce [1] 6:14 Introduction [1] 2:3 intrusion (1) 12:13 investigation [4] 6:8 8:21 11:14 31:19 **invitation** [1] 14:13 involve [1] 26:25 involved [2] 8:22 17:20 issue [4] 16:17 22:17 30:13 32:14 item [1] 15:12 items [3] 11:17 15:10 34:17 itself [1] 16:17 -J-John [2] 34:2 35:9 John's [1] 35:20 judged [1] 13:25 -K-

keep [3] 5:7 32:8 34:1

key [1] 26:4 kind [4] 8:16 17:20 31:1 35:2 kinds [3] 11:3,22 19:1

knowledgeable [1] 13:7 known [2] 10:2 13:13

-T.land [2] 34:10,12 land-mine [1] 20:2 landfill [6] 24:25 25:2 35:22,25 36:1,13 Lane [1] 35:10 lapel [1] 6:23 large [6] 10:14 11:19 12:11 13:17 19:17,19

larger [1] 9:1 last [10] 4:16,24 7:2 9:24 10:5 13:24 15:20 23:14 24:21 32:7 Lastly [1] 16:24

layers [1] 12:10 leachate [1] 36:1 lead [12] 10:25 11:8 14:18 19:23 20:11,15 21:6,13 23:20 34:20,21,24

least [4] 7:11 12:24 14:8 34:5 left [3] 10:6 12:6 15:8

less [2] 16:2 37:15 lesser[1] 11:18

lethal - private February 12, 2002, Idaho Falls, Idaho

pieces m 31:13

lethal [1] 7:12 level [6] 14:24 15:3 19:4 19:5 31:5 33:6 levels (1) 16:5 lifestyle [1] 18:12 lifetime [2] 17:23 18:19 Limited [1] 25:21 limiting [1] 20:17 line [1] 29:24 lines (1) 30:3 list [2] 8:11 9:5 listening [1] 7:11 lists [1] 32:15 literature (1) 6:12 live [4] 12:13 14:6,7 37:12 livelihood (11 18:10 living [1] 18:8 locations [1] 26:10 log (11 26:10 logic [3] 15:22 21:23 31:13 long-term [2] 27:21 28:9 look [9] 15:2 17:5 19:2 21:17,23,24 32:20 37:5 37:18 looked [6] 16:21 17:10 17:15 19:8 23:10 32:24 looking [10] 17:4,6 19:10 20:15 22:9,13 24:25 27:18 27:19 28:22 looks [11 18:15 **loop** [2] 29:10,13 loose [1] 10:5 losing [1] 22:25 lots [1] 13:15 -M-

mailed [1] 9:3 **mailing** [1] 9:4 maiming [1] 34:11 maintain [3] 31:3,15 32:3 maintained [1] 24:13 major [2] 10:25 23:7 makes [1] 32:19 mammals [2] 22:4 32:18 March [4] 9:15 37:24 39:16,23 markers [1] 11:24 marriage [2] 9:20,22 mass-detonation [1] 13:13 material [1] 35:21 materials [2] 19:16 34:19 Maxine [1] 35:16 may [4] 5:18 19:5,6 22:22 mean [3] 15:4,11,13 means [2] 12:10 29:16

measurement [2] 30:20 31:22 mechanically [1] 27:1 meeting [9] 1:2 4:6 5:17 6:1 7:1,9 33:14,16 38:2 meetings [3] 4:17 6:2,3 member [21] 11:5 13:8 24:24 25:9 28:18,21 29:3 29:8,19,23 30:2,8,10,16 30:18 31:18 32:12 33:8 34:2 35:9,16 members [3] 11:2 14:14 22:22 mention [3] 5:24 9:19 35:6 mentioned [7] 12:9 14:21 25:7 27:18 28:5 33:18 37:22 merged [1] 10:4 met [1] 23:23 methodologies [1] 26:5 methods [1] 24:6 mice [1] 27:20 microphone [1] 33:25 might [7] 10:6 15:14 16:5 16:15 17:25 36:12,15 mike [1] 6:23 miles [1] 28:4 milestones [1] 8:11 military [1] 12:24 million [6] 18:19 28:16 34:8,20 35:24 36:8 mind[1] 35:23 mine [2] 13:5.7 mines [2] 34:10,12 minimal [1] 28:14 modifying [1] 24:1 money [4] 34:9,23 35:3 35:20 monitoring [5] 23:14 28:8,9,10 29:13 most [7] 8:15,25 17:6

-N-

18:9 19:9 21:20 35:18

move (11 33:13

MS [2] 32:23 33:10

N_[1] 2:1 name [4] 4:4 34:2 35:7,9 named [1] 39:8 Nancy [4] 1:5 34:1 39:4 39:19 National [1] 20:5 nature [1] 31:7 Naval [4] 11:22 13:15 19:21 20:6 Navy [1] 7:14 nearby [1] 12:21 necessary [4] 16:6 31:2 34:17 35:5 need [9] 7:19 15:10 16:21

21:14,23,24,25 23:17,18 needed [3] 18:23 26:15 29:22 needing [1] 10:15 needs [4] 15:12 16:20 21:7 32:13 Nelson [4] 2:5 6:15.25 29.25 neutralized rm 14:9 Next [12] 9:19 10:12 11:16 12:3,16,22 13:4,11 13:22 14:12.21 23:2 night [2] 10:20 38:1 nine [4] 7:25 10:15 19:11 28:12 **NOAA** f11 20:4 NODA (11 20:6 noncarcinogenic [2] 18:16 19:1 normally [2] 9:11 10:22 North [1] 4:23 Notary [2] 39:5,19 note [1] 27:25 **nothing** [1] 35:13 **notice** [1] 32:18 noticed (11 8:19 now [8] 8:5.18 9:10 25:23 27:14 30:22 36:15 37:16 **number**[1] 15:8

-Oobjections [1] 33:14

objective [2] 31:21,24 objectives [1] 23:16 **obvious** [2] 15:23 16:2 **obviously** [1] 21:6 occasionally [1] 13:17 occasions [1] 34:6 occupational [3] 17:8 17:10,19 occur [2] 24:12 34:11 occurred [1] 22:14 occurrence [1] 34:12 Oceanographic [1] 20:5 October [1] 27:15 off [6] 12:6.18 24:18 27:2 34:23 37:19 off-site[1] 24:19 offering [1] 9:3 official [3] 2:11 9:4,18 often [2] 36:11 37:9 on-site [2] 24:12 25:10 once [1] 14:15 one [22] 4:9,17 5:1 8:10 10:2,2,8 12:25 14:10 15:13 19:18 20:19,25 21:1 23:6 31:13 32:2,12,13 34:13 36:23 37:8 Operable [7] 1:1 4:14 8:3,8 10:9 16:9 23:7

operating [1] 7:23 operations [1] 35:2 opportunity [1] 21:17 oral [1] 5:21 Order [2] 6:12 7:17 ordnance [23] 4:10 10:19 13:22 15:22 19:17,18,21 20:6,11,14 21:8,13 22:19 23:1,20 24:16 25:19,25 26:6,11 32:1 34:5,14 outset [1] 9:23 outside [1] 21:19 overview [1] 6:17 own [1] 11:2

-P-

page [2] 2:2 32:14 pages [2] 8:22,23 paper [1] 14:13 paradigm [1] 21:10 part [9] 8:2,7 13:23 16:18 17:6 25:4 31:19,20 32:3 **partially** [1] 12:17 particular [4] 7:17 9:14 12:6 13:9 particularly [3] 6:4,4 parties [2] 8:12,13 parts [2] 8:1 9:1 pass [1] 36:3 passed [1] 17:17 past [2] 5:6 6:10 **pathway** [1] 16:19 pathways [3] 16:16 17:25 21:24 pay [1] 21:25 **pending** [1] 27:6 people [8] 7:2 11:5 29:1 30:4 31:4,25 32:8 33:25 per [1] 11:6 percent [2] 31:22 32:6 percentage [2] 27:25 28:3 performed [1] 22:3 performing [1] 29:4 period [10] 5:15 9:10,13 9:14,18 17:12 34:6,18 35:19 37:23 **personnel** [1] 13:15 phase [1] 23:14 phone [1] 7:4 photo 121 12:4.5 photograph [1] 14:10 photographs [1] 15:1 photos [1] 12:22 pick [2] 26:6 35:24 **picked** [13 15:12 picks [1] 10:5 picture [1] 18:8 piece [1] 14:3

place [4] 7:19 13:24 25:10 39:8 placed [2] 12:12 13:10 plan [15] 1:1 4:5,8,17,21 5:20 8:24 23:15 24:24 25:23 27:12.14 28:10 32:15 37:4 plans [1] 36:25 **plant**[1] 16:23 plants [3] 22:4 27:20 32:17 plates [1] 13:6 playing [1] 18:25 Poeton [15] 2:6 6:17 15:17.19 25:4,11 28:20 28:25 30:6,15,17,23 31:24 33:11 37:8 point [4] 8:5 24:1 27:8 37:8 **politely** [1] 8:15 popping [1] 22:15 population [5] 22:23,25 23:1 33:7 34:25 populations [3] 22:10 22:17 28:15 portion [3] 12:16 15:15 33:16 portions [2] 5:17 11:19 pose [7] 15:6 21:3 22:21 22:22 28:13 37:2,5 posed [1] 10:16 posing [1] 29:6 possible [2] 29:6 32:6 poster [1] 23:9 postmarked [1] 9:16 posts [1] 14:14 potential [5] 16:3 18:24 19:10 21:1 22:16 pounds [1] 13:10 practice [1] 13:11 prearranged[1] 9:21 preceded [1] 10:6 prefer [1] 29:1 preferred [7] 24:4,10 26:2,4,20,25 28:16 prepared [2] 10:1 11:3 presence [1] 27:22 present [2] 16:14 35:13 presentation [5] 2:4 5:4 5:8,11 15:16 presenter [1] 5:10 presenters [1] 6:15 **pressure** [1] 13:6 pretty [3] 18:21 28:6 34:3 prevent [3] 12:12 31:3 31:25 previous [1] 19:12 primary [4] 12:24 17:7 17:25 20:12 **private** [1] 17:17

probability [1] 31:16 probable [1] 26:11 **Drocess** [6] 6:19 8:18 10:13 16:2,8 23:7 producing [1] 12:20 program [2] 4:14 28:10 programs [1] 18:18 project [5] 4:14,24 6:10 6:16 37:23 projectiles [1] 14:2 proof [1] 19:20 properly [1] 12:1 property [1] 32:4 proposed [13] 1:1 4:8,17 4:21 5:19 8:24 24:24 27:12 30:5.9 32:15 36:25 37.4 prospective [1] 30:25 protected [1] 33:5 protection [4] 4:19 6:18 7:14 33:5 proves [1] 7:11 provided [1] 8:12 **proximity** [1] 20:4 public [13] 1:2 4:7.16.21 5:14 6:2 7:1 24:3 27:7,9 27:12 39:5,19 public-comment [1] 33:13 public-review [1] 9:18 purpose [3] 15:25 19:18 purposes [1] 21:15 pushed [1] 36:21 put [4] 11:21 14:22 28:19 36:5 putting [1] 35:21 -O-

qualitative [1] 23:24 Quality [2] 4:20 6:21 quantitative [1] 16:24 question-and-answer [2] 5:5,12 questions [5] 5:8 28:17 30:17 32:11 33:11 quite [4] 9:2 24:14 32:21 35:23 Ouotient [1] 22:5

-R-

Radiological [1] 27:24 rainwater [1] 12:13 raise [1] 5:9 range [6] 14:12 18:11,22 19:23 21:5 26:16 ranges [2] 26:9,10 RAO [1] 30:20 rather[1] 35:4 RDX [12] 10:23 12:23

19:14 20:1.10.12.17 23:20 24:5 25:13 28:2 34:16 RDX-contaminated [1] 4:11 reactor [6] 10:1 12:4 14:23,23,24 20:8 read [1] 37:2 reading [1] 9:2 ready [3] 4:3 36:6,7 real [1] 30:24 really [6] 5:5 15:5 23:18 26:4 31:12 36:20 reason [2] 10:24 11:16 reasonable [1] 32:3 reasons [2] 6:13 13:14 receipt (11 27:6 received [1] 9:5 recently [1] 11:20 receptors [9] 10:10 16:21 16:23 21:24 22:1,12 23:21 34:22 37:16 recognize [2] 15:16 18:21 recognizing [1] 17:5 recommendation [2] 35:12.12 reconcile [1] 32:22 record [4] 4:23 33:21,22 39:11 recorded [1] 33:18 recording [2] 2:11 5:16 Records [1] 6:10 recycling [1] 27:3 redo [1] 36:12 reduce [1] 23:19 reduced [1] 39:9 redundantly [1] 24:15 Region [1] 6:18 regrettably [1] 12:5 regulations [1] 36:10 reintroduce rn 15:17 related [2] 20:23 35:21 relates [1] 19:3 relations [1] 4:5 relatively [1] 20:7 release [2] 11:24 19:10

relined [1] 11:20 remain [2] 14:1 17:14 remained [1] 33:6 remaining [1] 22:19 remedial [12] 2:7 6:8,22

7:18 8:21 11:14 27:14 28:24 29:5,16,21,22 remedial-action [3] 23:16 31:21,24

remediation [11] 4:9,22 10:16 27:4 29:11,14,17 29:18,24 30:11 34:17

remember [1] 25:6 removal [7] 15:21 24:17

24:19,21 26:3 31:8 34:20 remove [2] 26:23 31:14 removed [3] 24:11 25:13 26:21 repel m 11:3

replaced [1] 12:25 reporter [3] 5:16 33:19 39:4

Reporting [1] 1:5 represent [2] 21:11 22:16 reptiles [1] 22:4 request [1] 27:11 requested [1] 9:12 required [1] 24:9 resident [11 17:16 residential [7] 17:9,15 17:18 18:5,7 20:18 21:3 residual [1] 20:12 residual-contamination

r11 20:1 residues [1] 19:15 Responsiveness

33:20 rest [1] 16:10 Restoration [1] 4:13 restrict [1] 31:2 result [4] 10:14 13:20 31:4,10 results [5] 4:12 20:16

22:13 23:4 28:14 retain [1] 23:17 retard [1] 12:12 return [1] 26:23 returning [1] 24:22 revegetate [1] 27:7

revegetated [2] 25:17 26:14 review [2] 9:10,14

reviewed [11 36:24 RI/FS [3] 10:13 11:10 25:7

Rick [4] 2:6 6:17 15:17 23:5

right [2] 8:18 31:11 rightly [1] 37:10 risk [53] 2:6 4:12 15:18 15:23 16:19,25 17:1,6,7 20:16,20 21:1,9,11,12,16 21:18,21,22 22:2,8,9,11 22:14,15,22,22,25,25 23:6 23:10,19 28:7,13,14,24 29:6,12,16,17,18,21 30:3 30:13,18,19,24,25 32:9 37:5,9,14,18

risk-assessment [4] 6:19 16:1,8 28:25

risks [20] 10:9,16,19 15:6 15:18 18:16,16,18,18,21 20:19,21 22:16 29:11,20 36:20,21 37:3,15,17 **Robin** [2] 29:15 32:13

[1] 11:12

ROD [1] 27:10

rounds [6] 11:9.22 12:1 14:6.7 26:18 **rule** [1] 9:17 rules [1] 8:13 run [1] 5:13 rural [1] 18:7

-Ssalt [1] 34:20 sample [1] 27:3 sampling [1] 27:20 sandboxes [1] 18:25 says [1] 29:10 scenario [8] 17:8,10,10 17:18,19 18:5,7 21:3 scenarios [4] 17:4.7 20:18 37:11 schedule (11 27:8 scheduled [1] 33:22 schematic (1) 23:8 Schwartz [3] 1:5 39:4 39:19 Science [1] 35:17 scope [1] 27:12 screening [1] 27:1 seal [1] 39:15 Seattle [1] 6:19 second [3] 7:8 8:7 32:3 section [1] 33:21 see [12] 7:1,4,7 20:19 22:14 24:6,14 29:10,11 29:13,15 37:6 seeking (11 9:9 semiquantitative [1] 16:25 sense [3] 13:15 16:12 34:9 sensitive [7] 27:19,23 32:14,16,20,25 33:2 sent [3] 27:2,5,6 separate [1] 30:13 September [1] 27:13 service [1] 11:22 session [4] 5:5,12,15 33:13 sets [2] 8:10,11 several [4] 6:7 19:23 24:6 36:23 **shape** [1] 6:2 share [1] 35:19 sheets [1] 6:12 shell [1] 12:17 shells [1] 11:17 ships [1] 11:22 shoot [2] 11:5 14:16 **shooting** [1] 13:16 **short** [2] 5:13 36:18 shorthand [11 39:8 Shoshone-Bannock

show [3] 7:2.5 23:24 shown [3] 23:8,9 24:4 shows [1] 19:25 **shrift**[1] 36:18 side [1] 34:1 **sign-up** (11-5:3) signed [4] 4:23 7:15 10:4 significant [1] 29:12 **signing** (11 8:13 similar [2] 7:9 22:6 Simpson [7] 2:3 4:3,4 33:12 35:6,14 37:22 site [34] 10:11 13:13 14:15 15:6,22 17:9,14,17 18:8,10,23,24 19:9,22 21:9.19 22:4.23 23:1 24:18 25:15 27:2,5,6 30:7 31:7 32:24 34:3,4,13,14 34:15 36:18.25 site-specific [1] 26:7 site-wide [3] 21:16 30:13 32:18 sites [27] 10:12 13:24,25 16:6 19:11,13,14,14,17 19:18,25 20:7,10,13,17 20:24 21:2,5 22:14,16,17 22:19,20,21 25:12 28:12 Six [3] 22:3,16,17 skill (11 39:12 skin [1] 18:3 sliced [1] 14:8 slide [18] 9:19 10:12 11:16 12:3,9,16,22 13:4 13:11,22 14:12,21 15:20 19:13 23:18,24 28:19,23 slides [1] 24:15 small [6] 15:7 18:21 19:24 20:7 26:18 28:2 smaller [1] 7:25 **smelting** [1] 35:2 soil [14] 4:11 12:10,18 18:2,14 19:15 20:13 23:21 24:11,22,22 25:3 27:1 35:24 soils [3] 26:21 27:3,4 solicit [2] 4:7 9:7 soliciting [1] 4:21 Solid [1] 34:21 someone [4] 16:18 18:8 18:9 37:12 sometime [1] 33:23 sometimes [1] 37:10 somewhere [1] 11:7 sort [4] 16:11 17:13 30:12 36:21 species [11] 27:22.23 32:14,16,16,16,17,20,25 33:2,9 **specific**[1] 22:12 specifically [1] 32:19

specified - yet February 12, 2002, Idaho Falls, Idaho

tending in 15:24 34:23 pending in 15:24 34:23 pending in 34:8,16,19 pending in 34:8,113,16 pending in 34:8,113,16 pending in 34:8,113,16 pending in 34:9 pending in 34:8,113,16 pending in 34:9 pending in 34:8,113,16 pending in 34:9 pending				redruary 12, 200
tengly 15:24 44:25 sispansing py 34:8,16,19 perdad py 12:20 topic proad py 12:20 topic py 34:10 proad py 12:20 topic py 34:10 proad py 12:20 topic py 34:10 topic py 34:15 topic py 34:15 topic py 34:16	specified [1] 17:21	32:10	true [1] 39:10	Volume [2] 8:20,20
pending [9] 34.8,16,19 terroristing [1:3] terroristing [1:4:13,16] terroristing [1:4:13,16] terroristing [1:4:13,16] terroristing [1:4:13,16] terroristing [1:4:13,16] terroristing [1:2:0] terroristing [1:3] terroristing [1	spend [5] 15:24 34:23	ten [3] 7:13,20 9:24	try [2] 23:19 26:7	vote [1] 33:13
pent pri 34:10 pricad (r) 12:20 pricad (r) 13:22 pricad (r) 13:24 pricad (35:3,24 36:7	terms [1] 16:21	trying [2] 25:5 26:5	
test pj 4:22 20:8 36:3 tested nj 19:20 tested	spending [3] 34:8,16,19	terrorist [1] 11:3	turn [1] 6:23	-W-
test tp 422 20.8 36:3 test dt p 19:20 test tp 422 20.8 36:3 test dt p 19:20 test tp 19:20 test	spent[1] 34:10	terrorists [2] 14:13,16	two [13] 8:1,20 10:1,14	WAG [9] 7:23 8:7 9:24
Set 19:1 19:20	1			
tank [1] 17:1 TAFF [3] 29:3,19 30:10 taining [1] 12:21 tandpoint [2] 21:7 22:20 tated [1] 34:15 tart [1] 28:5 tart [1] 28:6 tart [1] 28:6 tart [1] 28:6 tart [1] 28:7 tart [2] 28:5 tart [1] 28:6 tart [1] 28:7 tart [2] 28:5 tart [1] 28:6 tart [1] 28:6 tart [1] 28:6 tart [1] 28:7 tart [2] 28:7 tart [2] 28:8 tart [2] 28:9 tart [2] 28:8 tart [2] 28:8 tart [2] 28:8 tart [2] 28:8 tart [2] 28:9 tart [2] 28:8 tart [2] 28:9 tart [2] 28:8 tart [2] 28:9 tart [2] 2	square [1] 28:3	tested [1] 19:20		WAG-10[3] 13:23 37:1
Take 17:1		thank (a) 15:19 23:5		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
taining [1] 12:21 tandpoint [2] 21:7 tandpoint [2]	stack[1] 17:1	35:14 37:25		
therein [1] 39.8 thick [1] 8.20 thick [1] 8.20 thinking [1] 15.24 third [2] 14.19 10 tate [8] 6.20 7.15 8.17 thousand [1] 15.9 thousand [1] 13.25 tation [2] 2.23, 34 thereatened [3] 27.23 tation [3] 20.23, 34 threatened [3] 27.23 threat [3] 14.16 15.8 25.5 top [1] 5.9 threat [3] 14.16 15.8 25.5 top [1] 5.9 threat [3] 14.16 15.8 25.5 top [1] 5.9 threat [3] 14.16 15.8 25.5 top [3] 5.10 threat [3] 15.10 threat [3] 15.	STAFF [3] 29:3,19 30:10			· ·
tandpoint [2] 21:7 tared [1] 34:15 tared [2] 34:4 19:10 tate [3] 6:20 7:15 8:17 tation [3] 20:23,4 tetements [2] 21:1 15:4 thousand [1] 15:9 thousands [1] 11:1] 35:7 39:15,20 tate ments [2] 21:1 15:4 thousand [1] 15:9 thousands [1] 11:1 35:7 39:15,20 tate ments [2] 21:1 15:4 thousand [1] 15:9 thousands [1] 11:1 35:7 39:15,20 tate ments [2] 21:1 15:4 thousand [1] 15:9 thousands [1] 11:1 35:7 39:15,20 tate ments [2] 21:1 15:4 thousand [1] 15:9 thousands [1] 11:1 35:1 39:15,20 tate ments [2] 21:1 15:4 thousand [1] 15:9 thousands [1] 11:1 4:10 13:25 threat [1] 16:1 13:25 threat [1] 16:1 13:25 threat [1] 16:1 13:25 threat [1] 16:1 13:25 thous [1] 16:1 14:1 15:2 thous [1] 16:1 14:1 15:1 14:1 14	staining [1] 12:21	thereafter [1] 39:9		
tapled [n] 14:13 tapled [n] 14:13 tarde [n] 34:15 tartel [n] 43:15 tartel [n] 44:19:10 tate [n] 62:07:15 8:17 11:11 35:7 39:1.5,20 tate [n] 19:15:23 tatements [n] 19:15 tatements [n] 19:15 tatements [n] 19:15 tatements [n] 12:11 15:4 thousand [n] 15:9 threat [n] 13:25 threat [n] 13:26 threat [n] 13:25 threat [n] 13:26 threat [n] 13:26 threat [n] 13:25 threat [n] 13:26 threat [n] 13:27 threat [n] 13:26 threat [n] 13:24 threat [n] 13:26 threat [n		therein [1] 39:8	typically [1] 18:17	_
Thinking [1] 15:24 third [2] 10:25 19:22 third [2] 10:25 19:22 thought [2] 16:4 36:14 thousand [1] 15:9 thousands [1] 11:9 threat [1] 13:25 threatened [2] 27:23 32:15 33:8 threat [2] 12:15 threat [3] 12:5 threat [4] 13:25 threat [6] 12:23 through [5] 18:3], 13:4 though [6] 18:3], 13:4 thousands [6] 12:23 threat [6] 12:23 threat [6] 12:23 threat [6] 12:23 threat [6] 12:23 through [5] 18:3], 13:4 though [6] 18:3], 13:4		thick [1] 8:20		
tarder [1] 34:15 tart [1] 28:5 tart [2] 28:7 tattements [2] 21:1 15:4 threat [1] 13:5 tattin [3] 20:2,3,4 tattin [3] 28:1 tart [2] 28:1 tart	stapled [1] 14:13		U-	
tart (1) 28:5 tart (2) 24:49:10 tate (2) 4:49:10 tate (3) 6:20 7:15 8:17 11:11 35:7 39:1.5.20 tatements (2) 2:11 15:4 tatements (3) 20:2.3.4 tep (2) 27:17 29:14 tewardship (1) 28:10 till (5) 14:1,6 15:8 25:5 threat (2) 13:10 threat (2) 13:25 threat (3) 13:10 threat (4) 13:12 threat (3) 13:10 threat (3) 13:10 threat (4) 13:12 threat (3) 13:10 threat (stared [1] 34:15		U.S [3] 4:18,19 6:18	
tarted [2] 44 19:10 thousand [1] 15:9 thousands [1] 11:9 threat [1] 13:25 threat [2] 2:11 15:4 tetp [2] 2:17 29:14 tetewardship [1] 28:10 till [5] 14:1,6 15:8 25:5 26:15 top [1] 5:9 threshold [1] 23:23 through [5] 18:3,13,14 29:19 37:2 threshold [1] 23:23 through [6] 18:3,13,14 29:19 37:2 THURSDAY [1] 4:1 tighter [2] 36:14,1 TNT [13] 4:10 10:23 12:23 19:14 20:1,10,12 20:17 23:20 24:5 25:13 tubsidence [1] 18:10 tuccess [2] 30:20 31:22 tummaries [1] 23:12 tummary [4] 6:22 8:25 summaries [1] 23:12 tummary [4] 6:22 8:25 summaries [1] 23:12 tummary [4] 6:22 8:25 summaries [1] 23:12 summaries [1] 23:15 tolo [1] 2:6 tol	start [1] 28:5			
tate [8] 6:20 7:15 8:17 included [1] 11:9	started [2] 4:4 19:10		_	
threat [1] 13:25 threat [1] 20:2,3.4 tep [2] 27:17 29:14 tewardship [1] 28:10 threat [8] 10:17,18,21 13:4 threat [8] 10:19,18,21 13:14 threat [8] 10:19,18	state [8] 6:20 7:15 8:17		= - 1	
tatements [2] 2:11 15:4 tation [3] 20:2,3,4 tep [2] 27:17 29:14 tewardship [1] 28:10 title [1] 14:1,6 15:8 25:5 26:15 top [1] 5:9 thream [1] 35:10 threshold [1] 23:23 through [5] 18:3,13,14 29:19 37:2 threet [1] 1:6 tudy [4] 6:9 8:22 11:15 27:20 THURSDAY [1] 4:1 tighter [2] 36:11,11 TNT [13] 4:10 10:23 17:23 19:14 20:1,10,12 20:17 23:20 24:5 25:13 37:21 tunbsidence [1] 18:10 tuccess [2] 30:20 31:22 tuch [3] 4:17 20:3 35:2 Summaries [1] 23:12 tunmary [4] 6:22 8:25 28:12 33:21 took [1] 3:11 took [1] 31:1 took [1] 31:1 took [1] 31:1 took [1] 31:1 took [1] 11:3 tunmary [2] 23:15 28:11 Superfund [2] 16:10 18:18 took [1] 31:1 survey [3] 5:25 25:12,25 26:7 31:14 survey [3] 5:25 25:12,25 26:13:19 21:25 23:14 20:14 23:12:25 23:14 20:14 23:12:25 23:14 20:1	11:11 35:7 39:1,5,20		•	
tation [3] 20:23,34 tep [2] 27:17 29:14 threats [1] 14:9 threats [1] 14:9 three [3] 10:17,18:21 13:4 19:17 22:19 23:18 26:19 three [4] 13:10 three [1] 15:9 three [1] 15:19 three [1] 10:17,18:21 13:4 19:17 22:19 23:18 26:19 three [1] 16:10 10:19 11:17 15:22 20:14 23:1,20 34:5,14 unique [1] 21:8 Unity [1] 12:18 Unity [1] 12:18 Unity [1] 12:18 Unity [1] 12:18 Unity [1] 12:14 tonight [8] 47 5:16,21 61:5 8:2,6 9:9 38:1 tonight [8	statements [2] 2:11 15:4	= =		
thep [2] 27:17 29:14 tewardship [n] 28:10 till [s] 14:1,6 15:8 25:5 26:15 top [n] 5:9 threshold [n] 23:23 through [s] 18:3,13,14 29:19 37:2 THURSDAY [n] 4:1 tighter [a] 36:1,1,1 TNT [n] 4:10 10:23 17:20 tuth [n] 36:14 unbmit [a] 5:17,22 6:5 37:21 tuth [n] 36:14 unbmit [n] 21:8 tuth [n] 19:17 together [n] 9:25 14:17 together [n] 9:25	station [3] 20:2,3,4			l I
titul (s) 14:1,6 15:8 25:5 26:15 top (i) 5:9 tream (i) 35:10 treat (i) 1:6 tudy (i) 6:9 8:22 11:15 27:20 tubmit (i) 5:17,22 6:5 37:21 tubmit (i) 5:17,22 6:5 37:21 tubmit (i) 5:17,22 6:5 37:21 tubmit (ii) 5:17,22 6:5 37:21 tubmit (ii) 5:17,22 6:5 37:21 tubmit (ii) 5:17,22 6:5 37:21 tubmit (iii) 6:14 tubmit (iiii) 6:14 tubmit (iiii) 6:14 tubmit (iiii) 7:12 tubmit (iiii) 7:12 tubmit (iiii) 7:12 tubmit (iiii) 7:12 tubmit (iiiii) 7:12 tubmit (iiiii) 7:12 tubmit (iiiiii) 7:12 tubmit (iiiiiiii) 7:12 tubmit (iiiiiiiiii) 7:12 tubmit (iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii	step [2] 27:17 29:14	threats (1) 14:9		1
till [s] 14:1,6 15:8 25:5 top [n] 5:9 tream [n] 35:10 treet [n] 1:6 tudy [4] 6:9 8:22 11:15 27:20 tuff [n] 36:14 ubmit [4] 5:17,22 6:5 37:21 tubsidence [n] 18:10 tucess [2] 30:20 31:22 tummary [4] 6:22 8:25 28:12 33:21 tonight's [n] 4:6 tons [n] 18:18 14:18 19:23 tool [n] 22:6 top [n] 1:23 tool [n] 22:6 t	stewardship [1] 28:10			
thop [i] 5:9 thream [i] 35:10 thream [i] 35:10 tream [i] 36:14 unique [i] 21:8 trighter [i] 36:14 unics [ii] 33:14 unless [ii] 33:14 unit [ii] 1:4:15 ti.53.8 unit [ii] 1:4:14 unit [ii] 1:4:15 ti.53.8 unit [ii] 1:4:14 unit [ii] 1:4:15 unit [ii] 1:4:1	still [5] 14:1,6 15:8 25:5			
through [5] 18:3,13,14 29:19 37:2 THURSDAY [1] 4:1 tighter [2] 36:11,11 TNT [13] 4:10 10:23 12:23 19:14 20:1,10,12 20:17 23:20 24:5 25:13 27:20 tubbidence [1] 18:10 tuccess [2] 30:20 31:22 tubbidence [1] 18:10 tuccess [2] 30:20 31:22 tubbidence [1] 18:10 tuccess [2] 30:20 31:22 tubbidence [1] 18:10 today [2] 36:14 37:17 together [2] 9:25 14:17 tonight [8] 4:7 5:16,21 6:15 8:2,6 9:9 38:1 tonight [8] 4:7 5:16,21 6:15 8:2,6 9:9 38:1 tonight [8] 4:7 5:16,21 6:15 8:2,6 9:9 38:1 took [1] 31:10 took [1] 31:1	26:15	threshold [1] 23:23	unexploded [9] 4:10	
THURSDAY [1] 4:1 tighter [1] 1:6 ttudy [4] 6:9 8:22 11:15 27:20 ttuff [1] 36:14 unbmit [4] 5:17,22 6:5 37:21 unbsidence [1] 18:10 uncess [2] 30:20 31:22 unbsidence [1] 18:10 uncess [2] 30:20 31:22 unthing [2] 36:14 37:17 together [2] 9:25 14:17 tonight [8] 4:7 5:16,21 6:15 8:2,6 9:9 38:1 tonight [8] 4:7 5:16,21 6:15 8:2,6 9:9 38:1 tonight [8] 4:18 19:23 tonight [8] 4:18 19:23 tonight [8] 18:18 unlikely [1] 19:7 unlikely [1] 1	stop [1] 5:9	through [5] 18:3,13,14	10:19 11:17 15:22 20:14	_
The tight et 1 16 16 16 16 17 17 17	Stream [1] 35:10			
27:20 tuff [ii] 36:14 ubmit [4] 5:17,22 6:5 37:21 TNT [iii] 4:10 10:23 12:23 19:14 20:1,10,12 20:17 23:20 24:5 25:13 28:2 34:16 today [2] 36:14 37:17 together [2] 9:25 14:17 tonight [8] 4:7 5:16,21 6:15 8:2,6 9:9 38:1 tonight [8] 18:18 tunimer [2] 23:15 28:11 Superfund [2] 16:10 18:18 tunimer [2] 32:12 tunimin [2] 18:14 tuniknown [1] 13:14 unlless [1] 33:14 unlless [1	Street [1] 1:6			= -
tuff [ii] 36:14 submit [a] 5:17,22 6:5 37:21 subsidence [ii] 18:10 success [2] 30:20 31:22 summaries [ii] 23:12 summary [a] 6:22 8:25 28:12 33:21 summary [a] 6:23 surface [ii] 8:4 surface [ii] 3:4:5 survey [ii] 37:20 survey [ii] 37:3 total [ii] 28:3 touched [ii] 37:3 toxic [ii] 34:19 train [ii] 11:4 training [ii] 19:23 trace [ii] 34:19 train [ii] 11:4 training [ii] 19:23 transcript [ii] 39:10 treat [ii] 26:23 treated [ii] 24:17 tribes [ii] 11:21,12 tried [ii] 5:17, 10, 12, 25 17:1 19:9 20:17 23:20 24:5 25:13 unless [ii] 33:14	study [4] 6:9 8:22 11:15	tighter [2] 36:11,11		
20:17 23:20 24:5 25:13 28:2 34:16 28:17 34:10 34:1	27:20			
28:2 34:16 today [2] 36:14 37:17 together [2] 9:25 14:17 together [2] 9:25 14:17 tonight [8] 4:7 5:16,21 6:15 8:2,6 9:9 38:1 tonight's [1] 4:6 tons [3] 11:8 14:18 19:23 too [2] 32:7,13 took [1] 31:1 took [1] 31:1 took [1] 31:1 tool [1] 22:6 top [1] 14:23 topic [1] 7:8 torrace [1] 34:5 survey [5] 5:25 25:12,25 26:7 31:14 torrace [1] 34:5 surveys [1] 27:20 torrace [1] 34:19 trained [1] 11:7 training [1] 19:23 trace [1] 34:19 trained [1] 11:7 training [1] 19:23 trace [1] 34:19 training [1] 19:23 trace [1] 36:23 treated [2] 24:11 26:22 treatment [1] 24:17 tribes [2] 11:12,12 tried [1] 5:7			, -	1 = -
today [2] 36:14 37:17 together [2] 9:25 14:17 tonight [8] 4:7 5:16,21 folis 8:,6 9:9 38:1 tonight [8] 4:7 5:16,21 folis 8:,6 9:9 38:1 tonight [9] 14:6 tons [9] 11:8 14:18 19:23 tonight [9] 11:20 12:24 tonight [9] 11:30 tonight [9] 11:40 tonight [9] 11				
together [2] 9:25 14:17 tonight [8] 4:7 5:16,21 6:15 8:2,6 9:9 38:1 tonight [8] 4:17 10:2 12:24 14:16 16:8,9 17:2 18:17 19:18 22:7 3:4 VAN [2] 3:24 1:4:11		1		
tonight [8] 4:7 5:16,21 6:15 8:2,6 9:9 38:1 tonight [8] 4:7 5:16,21 6:16 tons [9] 11:20 12:24 14:16 16:8,9 17:2 18:17 19:18 22:7 35:4 UXO [10] 20:14 30:19,21 30:24 31:4,9,20,20 32:9 34:8 VXN [2] 3:22 3 33:10 varies [1] 15:1 varies [1] 3:24 14:11 17:11,12,16 tonight [8] 4:24 13:4,9,20,20 32:9 33:10 varies				
Summaries [1] 23:12 summary [4] 6:22 8:25 28:12 33:21 summer [2] 23:15 28:11 Superfund [2] 16:10 18:18 supposed [4] 10:23 11:23,24,25 surface [1] 8:4 survey [5] 5:25 25:12,25 26:7 31:14 surveys [1] 27:20 sympathetically [1] 14:7 -T- table [6] 5:3,3,21 6:6,8 8:19 tackle [1] 29:1 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 6:15 8:2,6 9:9 38:1 tonight's [1] 4:6 tons [3] 11:8 14:18 19:23 too [2] 32:7,13 took [1] 31:1 tool [1] 22:6 too [2] 32:7,13 took [1] 31:1 19:18 22:7 35:4 UXO [10] 20:14 30:19,21 30:24 31:4,9,20,20 32:9 34:8 -Y- UXO [10] 20:14 30:19,21 30:24 31:4,9,20,20 32:9 34:8 -Y- yards [1] 12:6 year [1] 4:24 8:4,4 11:6 17:22 27:14 31:10,10 33:23,23 36:23 year [1] 4:24 8:4,4 11:6 17:22 27:14 31:10,10 33:23,23 36:23 year [1] 4:24 8:4,4 11:6 17:22 27:14 31:10,10 33:23,23 36:23 year [1] 4:24 8:4,4 11:6 17:22 27:14 31:10,10 33:23,23 36:23 year [1] 4:24 8:4,4 11:6 17:22 27:14 31:10,10 33:23,23 33:10 yaries [1] 15:11 various [1] 35:2 vary [1] 31:6 vegetation [1] 27:21 verbatim [1] 33:19 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23 vice [1] 36:23 vicinity [1] 20:8 Victnam [1] 11:4 tribes [2] 11:12,12		, –		I .
tonight's [1] 4:6 tons [3] 11:8 14:18 19:23 too [2] 32:7,13 too [2] 32:4 11:10:10:20:24 14:16 16:8,9 17:20 12:4 14:16 16:8,9 17:20 12:4 14:16 16:8,9 17:20 12:4 14:16 16:8,9 17:20 12:4 14:16 16:8,9 17:20 12:4 14:16 16:8,9 17:20 12:4 14:16 16:8,9 17:20 12:4 14:16 16:8,9 17:20 12:4 14:16 16:8,9 17:20 13:2:4 14:17:10:12:14 13:12:17 13:12:24 14:16 16:8,9 17:20 13:12:4 14:16 16:8,9 17:20 13:12:4 14:16 16:8,9 17:20 13:12:4 14:17:12:12:14 13:12:10 13:12:24 14:11:17:11,12:16 17:22:27:14 31:10,10 13:24 14:11 17:11,12:16 17:22:27:14 31:10,10 13:24 14:11 17:11,12:16 17:22:27:14 31:10,10 13:24 14:11 17:11,12:16				
tons [3] 11:8 14:18 19:23 too [2] 32:7,13 took [1] 31:1 tool [1] 22:6 topposed [4] 10:23 11:23,24,25 surface [1] 8:4 surveys [5] 5:25 25:12,25 26:7 31:14 surveyd [1] 34:5 surveys [1] 27:20 sympathetically [1] 14:7 -T- table [6] 5:3,3,21 6:6,8 8:19 tackle [1] 29:1 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 toos [3] 11:8 14:18 19:23 took [1] 31:1 tool [1] 22:6 tux old [1] 22:6 UXO [10] 20:14 30:19,21 19:18 22:7 35:4 19:18 22:7 35:4 VX [1] 2:1 -X- Vaccination [1] 7:8 VAN [2] 32:23 33:10 varies [1] 15:11 various [1] 35:2 vary [1] 31:6 vegetation [1] 27:21 verbatim [1] 33:19 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23 vice [1] 36:24 vice [1] 36:25 vice [1]				
too [2] 32:7,13 took [1] 31:1 tool [1] 22:6 topposed [4] 10:23 topic [1] 7:8 tourface [1] 8:4 tourvey [5] 5:25 25:12,25 surveyed [1] 34:5 surveyed [1] 34:5 surveyed [1] 34:5 surveyed [1] 34:5 surveyes [1] 27:20 tourhate [1] 11:4 trained [1] 11:7 training [1] 19:23 transcript [1] 39:10 treat [1] 26:23 trackle [1] 29:1 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 too [2] 32:7,13 took [1] 31:1 tool [1] 22:6 tuvey [1] 22:6 tuvey [1] 14:23 too [1] 12:6 VXO [1] 20:14 30:19,21 30:24 31:4,9,20,20 32:9 34:8 -Y- yards [1] 12:6 year [1] 12:6 year [1] 12:6 years [1] 12:6	summary [4] 6:22 8:25		35:19,24 36:4,8,13 37:20	•
took [1] 31:1 tool [1] 22:6 top [1] 14:23 top [1] 14:23 top [2] 15:10 top [1] 14:23 top [2] 15:10 total [1] 28:3 touched [1] 37:3 touched [1] 37:3 touched [1] 37:3 touched [1] 34:5 surveyed [1] 34:5 surveyes [1] 27:20 sympathetically [1] 14:7 —T— table [s] 5:3,3,21 6:6,8 8:19 tackle [1] 29:1 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 took [1] 31:1 took [1] 31:1 19:18 22:7 35:4 UXO [10] 20:14 30:19,21 30:24 31:49,20,20 32:9 34:8 —V- vaccination [1] 7:8 VAN [2] 32:23 33:10 varies [1] 15:11 various [1] 35:2 vary [1] 35:2 vary [1] 31:6 vegetation [1] 27:21 verbatim [1] 33:19 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23 treated [2] 24:11 26:22 treatment [1] 24:17 tribes [2] 11:12,12 tried [1] 5:7		I .		WITHING [2] 3.10 37.21
tool [1] 22:6 topposed [4] 10:23 top [1] 14:23 top [1] 14:23 tops [1] 7:8 toss [1] 37:19 total [1] 28:3 touched [1] 37:3 touched [1] 37:3 touched [1] 37:3 touched [1] 34:5 surveyed [1] 34:5 surveys [1] 27:20 sympathetically [1] table [6] 5:3,3,21 6:6,8 8:19 tackle [1] 29:1 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 tool [1] 22:6 ty Olio] 20:14 30:19,21 30:24 31:4,9,20,20 32:9 34:8 -V- yards [1] 12:6 year [1] 4:24 8:4,4 11:6 17:22 27:14 31:10,10 33:23,23 36:23 years [18] 7:13 9:24 11:1 various [1] 35:2 vary [1] 31:6 vegetation [1] 27:21 verbatim [1] 33:19 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23 vice [1] 36:24 vice [1] 36:25 vice [1] 3				
top [1] 14:23 11:23,24,25 surface [1] 8:4 survey [5] 5:25 25:12,25 26:7 31:14 surveyed [1] 34:5 surveys [1] 27:20 sympathetically [1] 14:7 -T- table [6] 5:3,3,21 6:6,8 8:19 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 top [1] 14:23 topic [1] 7:8 toss [1] 37:19 total [1] 28:3 touched [1] 37:3 toxic [3] 16:14 18:16 20:23 trace [1] 34:19 training [1] 11:4 trained [1] 11:7 tribes [2] 11:12,12 tried [1] 5:7 top [1] 14:23 30:24 31:4,9,20,20 32:9 34:8 -V- yards [1] 12:6 year [1] 4:24 8:4,4 11:6 17:22 27:14 31:10,10 33:23,23 36:23 years [18] 7:13 9:24 11:1 various [1] 35:2 vary [1] 31:6 vegetation [1] 27:21 verbatim [1] 33:19 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23 vicinity [1] 20:8 Vietnam [1] 11:4 tribes [2] 11:12,12 tried [1] 5:7				
topic [1] 7:8 surface [1] 8:4 surfaces [1] 31:10 survey [5] 5:25 25:12,25 26:7 31:14 surveyed [1] 34:5 surveyed [1] 34:5 surveys [1] 27:20 sympathetically [1] 14:7 -T- table [6] 5:3,3,21 6:6,8 8:19 tackle [1] 29:1 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 topic [1] 7:8 tooss [1] 37:19 touched [1] 37:3 varies [1] 15:11 various [1] 35:2 vary [1] 31:6 vegetation [1] 27:21 verbatim [1] 33:19 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23 vice [1] 36:24 vice [1] 36:25 vice [1] 36:25 vice [1] 36:25 vice [1] 36:26 vice [1] 36:26 vice [1] 36:27 vice [1] 36:27 vice [1] 36:28				X[1] 2:1
surface [1] 8:4 surfaces [1] 31:10 survey [5] 5:25 25:12,25 26:7 31:14 surveyed [1] 34:5 surveys [1] 27:20 sympathetically [1] 14:7 -T- table [6] 5:3,3,21 6:6,8 8:19 tackle [1] 29:1 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 touched [1] 37:3 touched [1] 37:8 VAN [2] 32:23 33:10 varies [1] 15:11 various [1] 35:2 vary [1] 31:6 touched [1] 37:8 VAN [2] 32:23 33:10 varies [1] 15:11 various [1] 35:2 vary [1] 31:6 touched [1] 37:8 VAN [2] 32:23 33:10 varies [1] 15:11 various [1] 35:2 vary [1] 31:6 13:24 14:11 17:11,12,16 17:23,24 18:6 32:7 34:4 34:18 36:15,19,23 37:13 yet [1] 9:5	11:23,24,25	1 -		
surfaces [1] 31:10 survey [5] 5:25 25:12,25 26:7 31:14 surveyed [1] 34:5 surveys [1] 27:20 sympathetically [1] 14:7 -T- table [6] 5:3,3,21 6:6,8 8:19 tackle [1] 29:1 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 total [1] 28:3 touched [1] 37:3 touched [1] 37:8 VAN [2] 32:23 33:10 varies [1] 15:11 various [1] 35:2 vary [1] 31:6 vegetation [1] 27:21 verbatim [1] 33:19 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23 vice [1] 36:24 vice [1] 36:25 vice [1] 36:25 vice [1] 36:26 vice [1] 36:27 vice [1] 36:27 vice [1] 36:28 vice [1] 36:48 vice [1] 48:48 vice [1] 4	surface [1] 8:4			
touched [1] 37:3 26:7 31:14 surveyed [1] 34:5 surveys [1] 27:20 sympathetically [1] 14:7 -T- table [6] 5:3,3,21 6:6,8 8:19 tackle [1] 29:1 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 touched [1] 37:3 varies [1] 15:11 various [1] 35:2 vary [1] 31:6 vegetation [1] 27:21 verbatim [1] 33:19 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23 vice [1] 36:24 11:10,10 33:23,23 36:23 varies [1] 1:12,12 vary [1] 11:4 Various [1] 12:4 Via [1] 4:24 8:4,4 11:6 17:22 27:14 31:10,10 33:23,23 36:23 varies [1] 7:13 9:24 11:1 13:24 14:11 17:11,12,16 17:23,24 18:6 32:7 34:4 34:18 36:15,19,23 vice [1] 36:24 vice [1] 36:24 vice [1] 36:25 vic	surfaces [1] 31:10	1	-V-	_
26:7 31:14 surveyed [1] 34:5 surveys [1] 27:20 sympathetically [1] 14:7 -T- table [6] 5:3,3,21 6:6,8 8:19 tackle [1] 29:1 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 toxic [3] 16:14 18:16 20:23 trace [1] 34:19 train [1] 11:4 trained [1] 11:7 training [1] 19:23 treat [1] 26:23 treat [1] 26:23 treatment [1] 24:17 tribes [2] 11:12,12 tried [1] 5:7 toxic [3] 16:14 18:16 20:23 VAN [2] 32:23 33:10 varies [1] 15:11 various [1] 35:2 vary [1] 31:6 vary [1] 31:6 varies [1] 15:11 various [1] 35:2 vary [1] 31:6 vegetation [1] 27:21 verbatim [1] 33:19 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23 vice [1] 36:23 vice [1] 36:23 vice [1] 36:23 vice [1] 11:19 vigorously [1] 11:4 vigorously [1] 11:4 vigorously [1] 11:4			vaccination (1) 7:8	
20:23 trace [1] 34:5 sympathetically [1] -T- table [6] 5:3,3,21 6:6,8 8:19 tackle [1] 29:1 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 20:23 trace [1] 34:19 train [1] 11:4 trained [1] 11:7 training [1] 19:23 transcript [1] 39:10 treat [1] 26:23 treated [2] 24:11 26:22 treatment [1] 24:17 tribes [2] 11:12,12 tried [1] 5:7 20:23 varies [1] 15:11 various [1] 35:2 vary [1] 31:6 vegetation [1] 27:21 verbatim [1] 33:19 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23 vic			•	
trace [1] 34:19 train [1] 11:4 trained [1] 11:7 training [1] 19:23 transcript [1] 39:10 treat [1] 26:23 treated [2] 24:11 26:22 treatment [1] 24:17 tribes [2] 11:12,12 tried [1] 5:7 trace [1] 34:19 trace [1] 34:19 training [1] 11:4 training [1] 11:7 training [1] 19:23 treated [2] 24:11 26:22 treatment [1] 24:17 tribes [2] 11:12,12 tried [1] 5:7 trace [1] 34:19 various [1] 35:2 vary [1] 31:6 vegetation [1] 27:21 verbatim [1] 33:19 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23 vice [1] 11:19 vigorously [1] 11:4 vary [1] 11:19 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23 vice [1] 36:24 vice [1] 36:23 vice [1] 36:2	surveyed [1] 34:5			
train [1] 11:4 trained [1] 11:7 training [1] 19:23 transcript [1] 39:10 treat [1] 26:23 treated [2] 24:11 26:22 trakes [1] 19:9 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 train [1] 11:4 trained [1] 11:7 training [1] 19:23 transcript [1] 39:10 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23 vice [1] 36:23 vicinity [1] 20:8 Vietnam [1] 11:19 vigorously [1] 11:4 vary [1] 31:6 verbatim [1] 33:19 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23	surveys [1] 27:20	trace [1] 34:19		
trained [1] 11:7 training [1] 19:23 transcript [1] 39:10 trackle [1] 29:1 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 trained [1] 11:7 training [1] 19:23 transcript [1] 39:10 vegetation [1] 27:21 verbatim [1] 33:19 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23 vice [1] 36:23 vicinity [1] 20:8 Vietnam [1] 11:19 vigorously [1] 11:4 vigorously [1] 11:4	sympathetically [1]			
Training [1] 19:23 transcript [1] 39:10 treat [1] 26:23 treated [2] 24:11 26:22 treatment [1] 24:17 tribes [2] 11:12,12 tream [1] 11:5 training [1] 19:23 verbatim [1] 23:19 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23 vice [1] 36:23 vicinity [1] 20:8 Vietnam [1] 11:19 vigorously [1] 11:4	14:7			
transcript [1] 39:10 treat [1] 26:23 treated [2] 24:11 26:22 treatment [1] 24:17 tribes [2] 11:12,12 treat [1] 11:5 transcript [1] 39:10 via [2] 5:22,23 vice [1] 36:23 vicinity [1] 20:8 Vietnam [1] 11:19 vigorously [1] 11:4				yet [1] 9:5
table [6] 5:3,3,21 6:6,8 8:19 tackle [1] 29:1 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 treat [1] 26:23 treated [2] 24:11 26:22 treatment [1] 24:17 tribes [2] 11:12,12 tried [1] 5:7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
8:19 tackle [1] 29:1 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 treated [2] 24:11 26:22 treatment [1] 24:17 tribes [2] 11:12,12 tried [1] 5:7 vicinity [1] 20:8 Vietnam [1] 11:19 vigorously [1] 11:4	table [6] 5:3,3,21 6:6,8	_		1
tackle [1] 29:1 takes [1] 19:9 team [1] 11:5 treatment [1] 24:17 Victnam [1] 11:19 vigorously [1] 11:4 tried [1] 5:7	8:19			
tribes [2] 11:12,12 vigorously [1] 11:4 vigorously [1] 11:4	tackle [1] 29:1			
team [1] 11:5 tried [1] 5:7	takes [1] 19:9	= =		
technology [2] 26:7	team [1] 11:5	1		
	technology [2] 26:7		*19#41[1] 10:1/	